## CITY OF EDEN, N. C.

The regular meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, was held on Tuesday, November 15, 2016 at 6:00 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 308 E. Stadium Drive. Those present for the meeting were as follows:

Mayor: Wayne Tuggle, Sr.

Council Members: Bernie Moore

Jim Burnette Angela Hampton

Jerry Epps
Darryl Carter
Neville Hall
Jerry Ellis
Prod Corporan

City Manager: Brad Corcoran

City Clerk: Sheralene Thompson

City Attorney: Erin Gilley

Representatives from Departments:

News Media: Gerri Hunt, Eden News

### **MEETING CONVENED:**

Mayor Tuggle called the regular meeting of the Eden City Council to order and welcomed those in attendance. He explained that the Council meets the third Tuesday of each month at 6:00 p.m. and works from a prepared agenda; however, time would be set aside for business not on the printed agenda.

#### INVOCATION:

Ms. Sue Anne Morris, Pastor, First United Methodist Church, gave the invocation followed by the Pledge of Allegiance.

#### **RECOGNITIONS:**

a. Recognition: Alicia Parker of Royalty Health & Wellness for 2016 Young Entrepreneur Award

Mayor Tuggle asked Mr. Mike Dougherty, Director of Business & Economic Development to come forward to introduce Ms. Parker.

Mr. Dougherty presented Ms. Alicia Parker, Royalty Health & Wellness, a letter of recognition for winning the 2016 Young Entrepreneur Award from the Rural Center. He thanked her for being a part of the community.

b. Proclamation: Veteran's Day.

Mayor Tuggle read the following proclamation in recognition of Veteran's Day, 2016.

#### VETERANS DAY PROCLAMATION

WHEREAS, our veterans are part of an unbroken chain of men and women who have served our country with honor and distinction; and

**WHEREAS**, our men and women in uniform have taught us about strength, duty, devotion, resolve—cornerstones of a commitment to protect and defend that has kept our country safe for over 200 years. In war and in peace, their service has been selfless and their accomplishments have been extraordinary; and

WHEREAS, it is our task to honor our veterans by fulfilling our responsibilities to them and upholding the sacred trust we share with all who have served: and

**WHEREAS**, we are called to reflect on immeasurable burdens that have been borne by so few and we pay tribute to our wounded, our missing, our fallen, and their families; and

**WHEREAS**, we also remember that our commitments to those who have served are commitments we must honor not only on Veterans Day, but every day; and

**WHEREAS**, on Veterans Day, we show our veterans our deepest thanks by participating in the many patriotic activities in our community.

**NOW, THEREFORE, I, WAYNE R. TUGGLE, SR.,** Mayor of the City of Eden, do hereby proclaim that on **Veterans Day, November 11, 2016,** the Citizens of Eden recognized the valor and sacrifice of our veterans by attending special memorial services in the city and county.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF,** I have hereunto set my hand this 15<sup>th</sup> day of November, in the year of our Lord two thousand sixteen.

Wayne R. Tuggle, Sr., Mayor

#### SET MEETING AGENDA:

Mayor Tuggle explained that they needed to remove item 9a, Audit Presentation, from the agenda.

A motion was made by Council Member Hampton seconded by Council Member Ellis to set the agenda. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

## **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

No public hearings were scheduled.

#### REQUESTS AND PETITIONS OF CITIZENS:

Marie Dunn, 711 Franklin Drive, addressed the City Council:

Ms. Dunn explained that they just bought the property on November 8. Apparently there is a question of city water being inside or outside the city rates with trash pickup being involved in that. She explained that when she came to the city to have the water turned on that was November 10<sup>th</sup>, at that time she spoke with someone in the water department who said it would be outside city rates. Now, when they bought the home it was inside city rates because the house was on the line. So she was told it would be outside city rates as opposed to inside city rates. The real estate agent had told them it was inside city rates, so at any rate, they needed to either be annexed in or continue with outside rates. She added that she was also told that there was a letter being mailed out to other people there on Franklin Drive, who have been in the past paying inside city rates that they would be changed to outside city rates.

She explained that they came into that property with the understanding that they would be paying inside city rates and it would include trash pickup. Now, if they pay outside city rates or were annexed, which was the question, if they were annexed how long it would take to be annexed. Her other question was, the people who were being alerted to this now, were given until January for the change. She would like to also be given until January since they came into this property with the understanding that it would be inside the city rates with trash pickup. Her trash can was picked up today off of her carport so right now they have an issue with trash because there were no receptacles available for Waste Management until January. So, they would be willing to start the annexation process tomorrow for their entire property, but she just needed to know how long that would take and also if they could continue as the other property owners, and receive inside rates and trash pickup until January.

The City Manager, Brad Corcoran, explained that first of all, as far as the annexation, she would need to get with Ms. Stultz (Director of Planning & Inspections). That would probably be about a 60 day process. The reason they made the determination, and of course if the City Council wanted it extended until January they certainly can, to go ahead and change them now was that despite what their realtor told them, the city did not tell them that. When she came in, the people in billing told her she would be outside the city. Ms. Dunn stated that when she came in to sign up for water they told her it would be for outside the city, but before they bought the property they called the city and asked and were told it was inside city rates, then her husband came to the city and spoke face to face with a person and was told inside city rates and trash pickup.

Mr. Corcoran replied that if they were told that then obviously it was a mistake. He explained that back in 1992, multiple properties that were on Franklin Drive were done incorrectly. The line goes through those properties but most of the structures were outside the city limits. None of those properties were paying city taxes yet they were receiving the inside rates. He explained that the citizens could not have it both ways, they either pay cit taxes and get the benefit of city services and city rates, or you do not pay city taxes and

then you have your solid waste services provided by the county and you pay outside rates. He stated that given the fact she desires voluntary annexation into the city, if it was okay with the City Council, he did not have a problem to go ahead and in the interim and bill them with the inside rates and to ask Mr. Carter (Solid Waste Superintendent) to return the refuse collection can.

It was the consensus of the City Council to work with Ms. Dunn and to go ahead and start the annexation process while continuing to bill for inside city rates and solid waste pickup.

#### AUDIT PRESENTATION:

The audit presentation was postponed until December.

a. Audit Presentation – Rouse, Rouse, Penn & Rouse

## **UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

No Unfinished Business at this time.

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

a. Approval of Boards and Commissions Appointments.

No appointments were made at this time.

b. Request to adopt an ordinance for the demolition of a structure at 628 Monroe Street under the City of Eden Human Habitation Ordinance.

Ms. Stultz explained that this was an apartment building on Monroe Street. It was purchased at a tax foreclosure auction and has not been brought up to standards. An estimate for the demolition of the structure on this property was \$32,408.64. Once the demolition is done at the City's expense, actions can be taken to recoup the funds in the same manner as the collection of special assessments.

She also presented some photos of the building for the City Council to review. She explained that the staff recommended demolition of this structure. The tax value was \$11,477.

Mayor Tuggle asked Mr. Seth Woodall, local attorney, to come forward.

Mr. Woodall explained that he was there to represent Mr. Wise, the property owner. He explained that they would like for the City Council to consider two options. Mr. Wise has owned this property for approximately four years and he believed the photos appeared to photos taken from 18 months to 2 years ago. Since that time there have been some efforts to secure property. He thought a letter was sent out from the planning office, Mr. Wise went out and met with an inspector, he boarded up and secured the property, and since that

time Mr. Wise has been unable to make repairs necessary due to a court order that was entered into by Judge Grogan in Wentworth on December 2014. Mr. Wise has been undergoing a domestic matter with his ex-wife, Karen Wise, who has a marital interest in the property. A restraining order was entered back in 2014 court order prohibiting him from disposing, wasting, making modification or encumbering the property. So, when he purchased the property originally, just prior to the separation, his intent was to acquire the property, borrow money against the property to make repairs and as he understood, he actually met with Ms. Stultz at some point and made a proposal that he intended to make retail space on the bottom level and to make apartments on the top level. That was still his intention. It was fair to say that the tax value was \$11,477 however, Mr. Wise has invested more than that in it. His intentions were certainly to try to bring the property back up to a habitable condition. He added that he understood that the city was proposing to tear this down at a pretty excessive demolition cost that would then, he assumed, try to be recouped from Mr. Wise. He did not think that was in the city's best interest nor Mr. Wise and he respectfully asked the Council to deny the request. He said the more reasonable approach would be their request to table this issue for approximately six months to try to allow them the opportunity to get him out from under the order. They have been litigating his domestic case for approximately two years and they have a court date set for next Wednesday, November 23, before Judge Strader, that would set a date for the next week for the matter to be heard. Now, in all candor, he did not believe anything would be heard that next week, but he did think that the matter would be scheduled for further hearings. It was their hope to have this matter resolved sometime before Christmas or early after New Year's, so with that being said, they would ask that the matter be tabled for approximately six months to allow him the opportunity to try to get out from under the restraining order that he was under and to make some satisfactory progress to the Council and to the planning office. So, they would ask that the Council either deny the request for the demolition or table the issue until later.

Mayor Tuggle asked if he was saying that it should be up to code within six months.

Mr. Woodall replied that was contingent upon the court carrying out what they believe the court was going to carry out. It just takes time, at no fault of Mr. Wise. They also believe it would be within six months, but he did not want to mislead the Council. He was not certain as to what if anything the Council or zoning office has as far as their intentions with the property as he had not heard anything about what the intended uses would be after the demolition/condemnation but he did not believe at this time it would be appropriate to enter a demolition given the fact that he was under an order prohibiting him from borrowing any money and that was his ability to make the repairs to bring it up to code. He did bring a copy of the order entered by Judge Grogan to present to the City Council. Mayor Tuggle asked Ms. Stultz for comments.

Ms. Stultz stated that she would like a copy of the order that was entered. She explained that they were not unfamiliar with Mr. Wise and she had numerous conversations with him over the years about plans that he has for various plans that he had for properties that he has bought across the community. This particular property has proved to be extremely difficult to keep closed, people can get in it and it has become a problem for the

surrounding businesses. She knew know that they always like to work with property owners and avoid spending the public's money when they did not have to. However, she still stood by the need to get it down, but if they were all inclined to grant him more time, then she would recommend that they bring it back to the January meeting and if he has not submitted plans for building permits that at that point they go ahead with the demolition.

Mayor Tuggle asked Mr. Woodall if he was agreeable with that.

Mr. Woodall replied that he could not tell them that anything would be done as far as physically making any repair to the property by January but if they want to come back in January, if it was just getting him to fill out an application to do some type of remodeling construction he did not think that would be an issue, but he would need to speak with him.

Ms. Stultz explained that he would have to hire a general contractor.

Mayor Tuggle pointed out that they deal with dilapidated properties every single month. They hear the best of intentions and rarely does anybody ever follow through. When you put things off, and put them off, and we still have these dilapidated properties around here the citizens complain about it all the time and nothing is ever done about it.

Ms. Stultz added that she and the City Attorney, Ms. Gilley, were at the auction the day the property was bought and she knew that Mr. Wise expressed the intent to repair it. That was four long years ago and it has not even successfully been kept closed where vagrants can get in.

Mayor Tuggle stated that the property had been there four years and nothing was done about it.

Ms. Stultz explained that it was a tax foreclosure, the county sold it, and it was still in the condition that it was, it also had worsened, he thought that Mr. Woodall's order said that Mr. Wise was prevented from borrowing money from December of 2014. It was very difficult to be in this circumstance, but this building was an incredible nuisance.

Council Member Burnette asked if there were two years before he was put under this order, that he did nothing to the building.

Ms. Stultz replied he had it for two years and nothing happened.

Council Member Burnette stated that he received numerous complaints about it every month.

Ms. Stultz replied that it was deeded to him on November of 2012.

Mr. Woodall added that he understood at that time there were still tenants there. It was a tax foreclosure and he thought that Barry Graves owned the property. There were tenants located there and it took multiple months to try to get everybody out and get the property

secured. They did not dispute the fact about the deed but as he understood it he has secured the building. He has painted the building since those photographs were made and he has made some efforts, as minimal as they may be, to try to better the appearance for the neighbors there and again, he understood the City Council's position, that there were a significant number of vacant buildings and they get a significant number of calls, and he knew that was a problem but he did think that the Council may think he had this time, 16 months from recording the deed until the restraining order was entered, if you recall their initial arguments that this time, immediately following the purchase, he believed he had gone through a separation, it was not the best time of his life, and that was not a legal excuse right now, but that was what he was going through at the time. That did affect his financial ability to do some of these things. So, at this point, given the fact that he has been under an order for two years, they were trying to resolve it, and there was a pending court date. Again, he did not think it would happen next week but they certainly intended to try to make it happen and they did not have a problem coming back after the new year to try to submit some type of plans.

Ms. Stultz replied that it would take more than just coming into the office with something put on a piece of paper that he wanted to do. That would not solve the issue that they have. Work had to be done, they have gone through this and he has to be prepared to go ahead and start the work on it. She explained that she was not unsympathetic to Mr. Wise but it was a continuing problem for both the city and the surrounding area. Also, by the way, it was deeded to him in November of 2012 and the restraining order was adopted in December of 2014.

Council Member Burnette pointed out that it was also a detriment to businesses that wanted to come in as well.

Mayor Tuggle stated that it was a constant problem trying to upgrade the downtown areas and Eden has taken a beating over the years. It was a constant thing trying to get a new business to come in when you have old dilapidated buildings there and they hear it every single day. Then they put it off and put it off and nothing was ever done.

Mr. Woodall stated that he did not know if the Council was familiar with the building at all but he did not know that it appeared dilapidated but it certainly needed some work.

Council Member Ellis questioned Mr. Wise as to what he planned for the future of that building.

Mr. Wise explained that his plans were to gut the bottom half of it and to make it available for a storefront and then repair three or four units on the top half as apartments. When he bought the building, he bought it to get the people out because they were causing a problem for his other properties. So, they closed all the doors and locked it up. Then about a year later, he had already filed for separation, but he did get a letter from Ms. Stultz, they went over there and they boarded up a broken window, screwed all the doors shut and they periodically go by and check it. They repainted the whole building about a year and a half ago so the appearance of the building from the outside was not dilapidated.

On the inside where tenants have been, there was a lot of damage done inside and so they went inside and started gutting some of that to see what they were going to do as far as a rehab and that was as far as they got until all of this. Mr. Woodall was handling things and the divorce has now been dragging on for three years.

Mayor Tuggle asked if he had bought the old hotel to which Mr. Wise replied he did. Mayor Tuggle stated that he was on the Council when he bought it he was going to refurbish it and fix it up and still the façade looks awful now and still nothing has been done to it to really improve the downtown area.

Mr. Wise pointed out that he spent close to \$100,000 on windows alone. They were going to rehab the whole building but the market changed as he knew in 2008, so he did not see a way where they could turn a profit by rehabbing the building as they had initially planned when the market took the downturn. They even had a subdivision that never got finished in Greensboro.

Mayor Tuggle stated that even the façade on that building looked terrible.

Mr. Wise replied that part of the façade got taken down at the request of the city, when all the awnings were down, those he had agreed to have taken down and that was part of the façade on the front of that building. He also spent another \$20,000 on the roof. They gutted the inside and that was about \$50,000. With the interest and the market the way that it was, that construction came to a halt. As far as the rest of the façade for the hotel, that front section that you see out there was when they took the awnings off, throughout the whole downtown area, part of that façade was the awnings.

Council Member Ellis asked if other properties were involved with this court date coming up.

Mr. Woodall replied there were approximately 60 properties involved.

Ms. Debra Madison, Planning Coordinator/Legal Assistant, pointed out that about 6 or 7 of those properties had actions against them.

For clarification, Council Member Ellis repeated, 60 properties to which Mr. Wise replied, 73 total.

Mayor Tuggle asked if there were any other questions.

Mr. Woodall closed by asking for the City Council's consideration of their request.

Mayor Tuggle thanked them for their time.

Mr. Mike Dougherty, Director of Business & Economic Development, explained that he would like to contribute to the discussion. There were a couple of new property owners downtown who took the buildings there where Debbie Shea had previously owned, they

have been listed for ten years, they rehabbed them and were in the process of selling one, and leasing the other. He gets grief about this all the time. There was a restaurant who planned to come downtown and because of that specific building they would not do it. No matter what was said, he could guarantee them that nothing would be done to that building, and he would certainly appreciate their consideration. He gets asked all the time why the city allowed these buildings to stay like this. With all due respect to Mr. Wise and Mr. Woodall, it would never happen.

Council Member Burnette stated that with the timeframe they were looking at, he just did not see anything happening in the next few months. Past performance in this case was an indicator of the future performance.

A motion was made by Council Member Burnette seconded by Council Member Carter to adopt an ordinance for the demolition of the structure at 628 Monroe Street. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

c. Request to adopt an ordinance for the demolition of 2 mobile homes and accessory structures at 580 and 766 Thornton Street under the City of Eden Human Habitation Ordinance.

Ms. Stultz explained that they all had received a copy of the timeline for all of the interactions that she and her staff have had with this property since 1999. They have been engaged and actively trying to abate these violations for years. They have already demolished one mobile home that was on this property, so there used to be three. One of the mobile homes was valued by the tax department at \$1297.00 and the other at \$1191.00. They have sent numerous notices and have done everything that they could to get the property owner to take responsibility for it and to date he has not. The neighbors in this area have been infuriated with her staff and have complained for years about this site. At this point she saw no other recourse but to demolish both structures and then pursue the collection of the funds owed to the city, for the first one and for those two.

Council Member Burnette asked if the money was still owed for the first demolition to which Ms. Stultz replied that was correct.

Ms. Stultz presented an aerial photo and showed where the third mobile home was located. She then presented one as it looked today. They could see that it was not livable. She also presented the other mobile home. At this point, she stated, she could do nothing but recommend that they approve the ordinance for the demolition of these properties.

Mayor Tuggle stated that it looked like Mr. Powell had received about 12 certified letters, going on for about 17 years to which Ms. Stultz replied that was correct. There had been actions that started and stopped, nuisances about the grass, every kind of violation known to man, but as all of that has gone along, the mobile homes there on the site were obviously vacant at the moment and there was no way you could repair them for half of the value that she had shared with them. She stated that she saw no recourse but to demolish them and clean the lot up.

Mayor Tuggle asked the property owner, Andy Powell, if he would like to speak.

Mr. Andy Powell, 132 Landfill Road, explained that he was the property owner and had lived there with his mother. His aunt lived in one of the mobile homes until she became sick and moved to a nursing home. He knew that the city got bombarded every time the grass gets high. He explained that Sammy Gammons had lived in the second mobile home for about 15 years and he just moved out in April. There had been issues with tenants in the other trailer. The mobile homes were livable. The tenants moved out and left a bag of trash and this was a year ago. When he spoke with Mr. (Josh) Woodall, the city inspector, he did not plan on renting it anymore. He was just going to try to sell the property. Whoever buys the property can buy a new mobile home or a different mobile home and they could get it set up and the problem solved. He knew there had been all kinds of issues with the neighbors and his tenants but he did not have anything to do with it. The tenants have all been moved out for about a year and he was not going to rent them anymore. Both mobile homes were sound inside and were not dilapidated. He had not tried to cause a problem, he was a retired law enforcement officer and he ran a car lot at the old Sealtest and he had several properties around town.

Council Member Moore asked him if he had tried to sell it or put it on the market.

Mr. Powell replied that he was in the process of doing that now, the gentleman who owned the land beside of it was trying to work out a deal with him.

Ms. Stultz pointed out that even if he sold it, the mobile homes still needed to be removed. Whether it was Mr. Powell or the next guy.

Mayor Tuggle stated that the city had been dealing with him for about 17 years. It was anywhere from junk motor vehicles to high grass, to trash or dilapidated mobile homes. It goes on and on for 17 years. That was just excessive for the city to have to talk to him that many times about all the different things. There was a point where you have to take care of business. It was obvious he was not taking care of these things. He noted that even one of the tenants was stealing power from Duke Energy.

Mr. Powell stated that this was the first time he had been before the Council.

Council Member Ellis stated that he went out there and looked at the property and there is a nice church in back of the property there. He looked at those trailers. They were looking at \$2400 total for two properties. He did not know what he was thinking of selling his property for but the fact is he was a businessman and it was time for the city to be positive to what they were looking for in Eden's future. If he had to live across from that every day and he understood he said he was raised there, but he had 17 letters (of violations) and that was a lot.

Mr. Powell stated that they were livable, he would sign an agreement he would not rent them anymore, just put them on the market and sell it.

Council Member Epps asked if there were any court action or liens.

Ms. Stultz replied that they have not pursed court action for the first one yet as their thought was to get these two down and pursue all the funds owed to the city. That property should stand for it, at any rate whether it will or it would not, it was still such a problem for this area that it was her opinion they should take them down. They have made numerous trips there, they were at the point where they were considered a nuisances. They went through the housing code process and it was her opinion they needed to be demolished.

Ms. Gilley clarified that the first action there was a lien on the property but it has not been foreclosed on yet.

Mayor Tuggle stated that he was just guessing, but Mr. Powell owed the city close to \$2500 and it has been going on for quite a while. He asked how much the city had received from Mr. Powell to which Ms. Stultz had replied none that she was aware of.

Mayor Tuggle asked Mr. Powell if he had anything else to say and thanked him for coming. He then asked Ms. Cathy Lemons for comments.

Ms. Cathy Lemons, 764 Thornton Street, stated that when they look out their window they see the garbage across the street. She married 18 years ago and built her home there. They have been dealing with this, back when she first started complaining and calling the city for years and years, and Mr. Powell would do a little bit, and something would fall by the wayside. She was tired of looking at it and dealing with it. She did what she was supposed to do and her property looked as nice as she could keep it. They rock the dirt road and keep it up, it was not a state maintained road. One of the biggest concerns was that the white trailer that Mr. Powell speaks of, when someone lives in that home and they use any kind of water, the yard suds up. The septic tank was in the front yard. They like to be outside but they breathe it and mosquitos get in it, it was not sanitary, and it was not safe. She had not been inside either home but all she knew was what the outside looked like. She explained that she had sent letters, she had all kinds of pictures, a lot of them show the trash that has been removed, and he did come and remove the trash and mow the grass one time this year. The gentleman that lived in the second trailer moved out and told them that he was afraid the floor was going to fall in when he took a bath. Most of the people who had lived in the other trailer were criminals. She could not tell them the

number of 911 calls that she had made over issues with those people. Of course, they were not there now, but the one who was the biggest problem, worked for Mr. Powell. He caused all kinds of problems and EMS was there all the time. They were playing music and keeping her son up at night. Nobody lived in either one of those homes right now and they want it to stay that way. She stated that the appreciated their help with this matter.

Mayor Tuggle thanked her for her comments.

Council Member Hall asked what the zoning was for that lot.

Ms. Stultz replied that she believed it was R-4 and manufactured housing could go in there.

Council Member Hall asked that if he were to sell that property, somebody could replace it with a new one.

Ms. Stultz replied that it would have to be to code and they would only be allowed to put one on a lot, not three like there was before.

Council Member Hall stated that if he was actively trying to market the property, those things, in his opinion, would probably depreciate the value. He also asked if everyone who had been in there had agreed that the properties were not livable to which she replied that was correct. He then asked about a situation a few months ago where the family received a grant, to which Ms. Stultz replied they received the last one. He explained that he just wanted to see if it was still available.

Mayor Tuggle asked if there were any other comments and asked Ms. Lemons if she had additional comments.

Ms. Lemons added that her husband had offered to buy the properties on more than one occasions and Mr. Powell has denied it, however Mr. Powell had mentioned their other neighbor was interested in the properties. After speaking with that neighbor, she understood that the neighbor was not interested in buying it.

A motion was made by Council Member Carter seconded by Council Member Ellis to take the action on the demolition and adopt an ordinance for the demolition of 2 mobile homes and accessory structures at 580 and 766 Thornton Street. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

d. Confirm the amount of the indebtedness of demolition costs to be collected in the same manner as special assessments.

The following properties have been the subject of housing code actions and the structures situated on the properties have been demolished pursuant to an Ordinance adopted by the Eden City Council. The cost of the demolition is noted for each property.

1704 Ashby Street 3,850.00 534 Friendly Road 3,950.00

These particular liens are collected in the same manner as special assessments. We need for you to formally establish these liens and then to authorize legal action. When we appear in court, your formal action will be proven through the minutes.

A motion was made by Council Member Ellis seconded by Council Member Hampton to (1) formally establish liens against the properties and (2) to authorize legal action. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

e. Adopt Order of Collection for nuisance abatement fees to be collected as delinquent property taxes.

Ms. Stultz explained that the attached is a list of properties which have had nuisance violations and the costs associated with the abatement of the violation (copies of the invoices have been delivered to the City Clerk with the Order of Collection).

These particular liens are collected in the same manner as delinquent property taxes. We need for you to formally establish these liens and then to authorize legal action. When we appear in court, your formal action will be proven through the minutes and the execution of the attached Order of Collection.

A motion was made by Council Member Hall seconded by Council Member Moore to (1) formally establish liens against the properties and (2) to authorize legal action, covering the entire list. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

11/1/2016		Council Authorizati	on for Action - November 15, 2016				
Acct No	Street No.	Street Name	Oursel/Deid By	Date Billed	Amount Billed	Daymonto	Balance Due
			Owner/Paid By			Payments	
21368	748	Ayden Rd	DePriest, Celeste V.	11/25/15	141.82		141.82
21368	748	Ayden Rd	DePriest, Celeste V. (bush hog lots)	10/19/16	400.00		400.00
20427	232	Blackstock St.	Angela Brooks (mow)	07/20/16	99.50		99.50
20427	232	Blackstock St.	Angela Brooks (mow)	09/12/16	139.00		139.00
21309	218	The Boulevard	Ostwalt, Samuel O. (mow)	10/19/16	81.00		81.00
20243	1116	Center Church	Wilkerson, Rosabelle Heirs (mow)	11/25/15	111.21		111.21
20243	1116	Center Church	Wilkerson, Rosabelle Heirs (mow)	10/19/16	81.00		81.00
21341	709	Circle Drive	Carter, Carol J. (mow)	06/21/16	81.00		81.00
20232	739	Circle Drive	Willard, Jami (mow)	06/21/16	144.00		144.00
22220	753	Davis St.	Harbour Portfolio VI LP (mow)	09/12/16	81.00		81.00
21229	607	Elm St.	Bullins Family Homes, LLC (mow)	09/12/16	89.00		89.00
20637	607	Fieldcrest Rd.	Deboe, Elga (mow)	05/25/16	112.00		112.00
20637	607	Fieldcrest Rd.	Deboe, Elga (mow)	09/12/16	147.00		147.00
22205	920	Gracewood Dr.	Tanner, Keith J. (mow)	07/20/16	144.00		144.00
22228	314	Greenwood St.	Bullins, Thomas Edward (mow/junk)	10/19/16	236.00		236.00
22217	131	Hairston St.	Carpenter, David (mow)	09/12/16	81.00		81.00
21147	409	Henry St.	Mizpah Baptist Church (mow)	07/20/16	81.00		81.00
22226	105	High St. N	Scales, Melissa (mow)	10/19/16	81.00		81.00
10454	1229	Irving Ave.	Stewart, Willie & Rosetta (mow)	05/25/16	96.50		96.50
10454	1229	Irving Ave.	Stewart, Willie & Rosetta (mow)	09/12/16	139.00		139.00
11505	1238	Irving Ave.	Melvin, R. Martin (mow)	05/25/16	81.00		81.00
11505	1238	Irving Ave.	Melvin, R. Martin (mow)	09/12/16	131.00		131.00
21719	212	Kennedy St.	Boyd, Jeffrey (mow)	06/21/16	89.00		89.00
21719	212	Kennedy St.	Boyd, Jeffrey & Melissa (mow)	09/12/16	147.00		147.00
22197	803	Klyce St.	Thomas, Joretta Estate (mow)	06/21/16	81.00		81.00
21136	625	Lee Street	Anderson, Susan Terry (mow)	05/25/16	81.00		81.00
21136	625	Lee Street	Anderson, Susan Terry (mow)	09/12/16	147.00		147.00
22194	290	Longhook Rd.	Compton, Todd W. (mow)	06/14/16	179.00		179.00
22194	290	Longhook Rd.	Compton, Todd W. (mow)	09/12/16	146.50		146.50
22207	306	E. Meadow Rd	Meeks, Mary Annette (mow)	07/20/16	109.00		109.00
21704	405	Moir Street	Lowery, Dean (mow)	05/25/16	81.00		81.00

21704	405	Moir Street	Lowery, Dean (mow)	09/12/16	131.00		131.00
21623	609	North St.	Lyons, Nadine Tinsley Estate (mow)	06/14/16	81.00		81.00
21623	609	North St.	Lyons, Nadine Tinsley Estate (mow)	09/12/16	131.00		131.00
21981	631	North St.	Sawyer, Justin W. (mow)	07/20/16	89.00		89.00
21957	616	Oak St.	Springboard Legacy Ltd. (mow)	09/12/16	88.25		88.25
22181	814	Oak St.	TM Property Solutions, LLC (mow)	06/21/16	89.00		89.00
22175	721	Patrick St.	Stroud, Porsha (remove building debris)	06/21/16	6,050.00		6,050.00
21594	105	Periwinkle Rd.	McDaniel, Karen (mow)	05/25/16	81.00		81.00
21594	105	Periwinkle Rd.	McDaniel, Karen (mow)	09/12/16	147.00		147.00
11505	410	Price St.	Melvin, R. Martin	05/25/16	81.00		81.00
11505	410	Price St.	Melvin, R. Martin	09/12/16	139.00		139.00
22227	1203	Ridge Ave.	Sams, Nancy Marie (drain pool)	10/19/16	356.00		356.00
22206	631	Riverside Dr.	Campbell, Ronald & Donna	07/20/16	89.00		89.00
21959	118	Shady Grove Rd.	Hairston Estate, Betty (mow)	09/12/16	96.50		96.50
21209	918	Sharpe Ave.	Real Estate Specialist, LLC (mow)	10/19/16	81.00		81.00
21000	1006	Sharpe Ave.	Curtis, Dorothy M. (mow)	06/21/16	81.00	15.00	66.00
22118	1012	Sharpe Ave.	Bolden, Jacine M. (mow)	10/19/16	131.00		131.00
22196	1016	Sharpe Ave.	Mitchell, Earnest Andre Estate (mow)	06/21/16	81.00		81.00
20076	610	Spring St.	Hodge, Robert (mow)	11/25/15	97.00		97.00
21232	723	Spring St.	Kirkman, Myrtle Heirs	05/25/16	81.00		81.00
20253	1002	E. Stadium Dr.	Wilson, Tyrone (mow)	07/20/16	103.00		103.00
22097	1218	E. Stadium Dr.	Harris, Susan V. & Daniel A. (mow)	05/25/16	96.50		96.50
22097	1218	E. Stadium Dr.	Harris, Susan V. & Daniel A. (mow)	09/12/16	147.00		147.00
22216	910	Willow St.	RESI SFR SUB, LLC	09/12/16	81.00		81.00
TOTALS					12,466.78	15.00	12,451.78

## **REPORTS FROM STAFF:**

No Reports were scheduled.

# CONSENT AGENDA:

a. Consideration and Approval of Minutes for October 18, 2016.

A motion was made by Unanimous Consent to approve the Consent Agenda. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

## **ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

Council Member Ellis congratulated Chief Reece Pyrtle upon his recent election to County Commissioner.

## ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by unanimous consent to adjourn. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Tavor of this motion. This motion carried.	
	Respectfully submitted,
	Sheralene S. Thompson, CMC, MMC, NCCMC
ATTEST:	City Clerk
Wayne R. Tuggle, Sr., Mayor	