CITY OF EDEN, N. C.

The regular meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, was held on Tuesday, January 18, 2011 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 308 E. Stadium Drive. Those present for the meeting were as follows:

Mayor: John E. Grogan
Mayor Pro Tem: Wayne Tuggle, Sr.
Council Members: Donna Turner

Darryl Carter
Jerry Epps
Gene Hagood
Jim Burnette
Jerry Ellis

City Manager: Brad Corcoran

City Clerk: Sheralene Thompson

City Attorney: Erin Gilley Administrative Assistant: Deanna Hunt

Representatives from Departments:

Representatives from News Media: Latala Payne, Eden News; Roy Sawyers, RCENO

MEETING CONVENED:

Mayor Grogan called the regular meeting of the Eden City Council to order and welcomed those in attendance. He explained that the Council meets the third Tuesday of each month at 7:30 p.m. and works from a prepared agenda; however, time would be set aside for business not on the printed agenda.

INVOCATION:

Council Member Jerry Ellis, gave the invocation followed by the Pledge of Allegiance led by Fire Chief Tommy Underwood.

RECOGNITION AND PROCLAMATION:

Mayor Grogan read the following proclamation in recognition of Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr.

A PROCLAMATION TO DESIGNATE JANUARY 17th TO HONOR DR. MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR

WHEREAS, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. challenged our nation to recognize that individual liberty relies upon our common equality. He believed that nothing could replace a kindness rendered by one human being to another and that a good society is a result of millions of such good small acts; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. devoted his life to serving others and through his life and his work taught that freedom of choice and respect for fellow human beings are to be sought; and

WHEREAS, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. was tragically killed on April 4, 1968 in Memphis, Tennessee while leading sanitation workers in a protest against low wages and intolerable working conditions; and

WHEREAS, the King Holiday and Service Act of 1994, signed into law by President Bill Clinton on August 23, 1994, designates the Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Federal Holiday to be a day of national service and this year's observance will be held on Monday, January 17th, 2011, a day to be celebrated by the City of Eden employees; and

WHEREAS, the King Holiday is a unique American holiday in that it challenges Americans to remember and celebrate but most importantly act to address those issues for which Dr. King and others gave their lives;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT PROCLAIMED that I, John E. Grogan, Mayor of the City of Eden, hereby designate JANUARY 17th, 2011 be set aside as Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Day in the City of Eden and urge all citizens to join with me this day in recognizing the importance Dr. King made toward peace, civil unity, and nonviolence in our lives.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 18th day of January, 2011.

By: John E. Grogan, Mayor City of Eden

ATTEST:

Sheralene S. Thompson, City Clerk

SET MEETING AGENDA:

Mayor Grogan stated that he wanted to add to the Consent Agenda item 12(j) an appointment to the ABC Board. He explained that Mr. Tommy Webster had resigned and he wanted to appoint Mrs. Lisa Duncan to the ABC Board. He also explained that under New Business item 10(b) had been withdrawn and under Staff Reports, Mr. Mike Dougherty, Director of Economic and Business Development wanted to make a brief report.

A motion was made by Council Member Carter seconded by Council Member Ellis to set the meeting agenda. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

(a) Consideration of a zoning text amendment request and ordinance to amend Section 11.24 (n) (5) – the PUD-R section of the City of Eden Zoning Ordinance to allow PUD-R residential districts as a conditional use in the R-S District. Request submitted by Thomas T. Pratt, Jr., and Jennifer P. Hankins. ZONING CASE Z-11-01.

The City has received a zoning text amendment request Thomas T. Pratt, Jr. and Jennifer Pratt Hankins to amend Section 11.24(n)(5) – the Planned Unit Development-Residential (PUD-R) of the City of Eden Zoning Ordinance to allow PUDR's in the Residential-Suburban zoning district.

The Planning Board considered this request at a special meeting on January 4, 2011and recommended that the amendment be approved.

Mayor Grogan called for a public hearing and asked Ms. Kelly Stultz, Director of Planning & Inspections, to come forward with a report.

Ms. Stultz explained the request was initiated by Thomas T. Pratt, Jr.

The PUD-R Residential District is established as an area of land under unified control to be developed as a single entity for residential purposes in a variety of housing types. The purpose of the district is to provide for:

- (1) Flexibility in design to take greatest advantage of natural land, water, trees and historical features.
- (2) Accumulation of large areas of open space for recreation and preservation of natural amenities.
- (3) Greater freedom for the developer to submit plans that embody a creative approach to land use and utilizing innovative techniques to enhance the aesthetic quality of the development.
- (4) Efficient use of land which may result in smaller street and utility maintenance costs.
- (5) Simplification of the procedures for obtaining approval of proposed development through timely review of proposed land use, site plan, public needs and other relevant factors.

A PUD-R request must be approved by the City Council upon recommendation of the Planning Board.

The Residential-Suburban (RS) district is established to provide reasonable safeguards for areas characterized by suburban residential and agricultural uses. The intent of this district is: (1) to encourage the continued use of land for low density residential and agricultural purposes; (2) to retain the open characteristics of land areas on the fringe of the city; (3) to provide a transitional zone between higher density residential districts of the city and low density residential and agricultural districts of the county: and (4) to provide interim land use control to land areas until such time a more intense use of land is warranted. Provision is made for reduction of the minimum lot size where public or community sewerage and water systems are available.

As PUD-R developments are allowed in all other residential districts (with the exception of O&I), and since each development plan is reviewed on a case-by-case basis subject to certain conditions, staff is of the opinion that allowing PUD-R developments in the RS district is appropriate. Also, since the RS districts are transitional zones on the fringe of the city, PUD-R development would be a good way to control the development in these areas. In addition, staff recommends that the maximum number of dwelling units per acre be 3.2, which is the same as for PUD-R development in the R-20 district.

Based upon the foregoing information, staff recommends in favor of the text amendment.

Mayor Grogan asked if anyone wanted to speak in favor or in opposition of this request. As no one came forward to speak in favor or in opposition he then declared the public hearing closed.

Council Member Hagood questioned if this was two pieces of property.

Ms. Stultz replied that it was and if the Council decided to amend the zoning ordinance then they have to consider the application.

Council Member Burnette asked if this was the only zoning that did not allow the PUD-R.

Ms. Stutlz replied yes, the only residential.

Council Member Turner asked how that happened.

Ms. Stultz replied that she really thought it was what she had said earlier about the PUD being created in the 1980s and then she was involved when they created the RS in the 1990s and they did not realize that PUD did not allow it. Over the years, once she realized it, she anticipated that someone would decide to try something of that sort and it would be the perfect time to bring it up.

Council Member Tuggle asked if all PUD-R requests had to come to Council to which Ms. Stultz replied that they did.

A motion was made by Council Member Ellis seconded by Council Member Hagood to amend Section 11.24 (n) (5) – the PUD-R section of the City of Eden Zoning Ordinance to allow PUD-R residential districts as a conditional use in the R-S District. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

(b) Consideration of a zoning map amendment request and ordinance to rezone property located at 1951 and 1961 Price Road from Residential-Suburban to Residential-Suburban/PUD-R. Request submitted by Thomas T. Pratt, Jr., and Jennifer P. Hankins. ZONING CASE Z-11-02.

The City has received a zoning map amendment request filed by Thomas T. Pratt, Jr. and Jennifer Pratt Hankins. The request is to rezone property at 1951 and 1961 Price Road from Residential-Suburban to Residential-Suburban/Planned Unit Development-Residential.

The Planning and Inspections Department recommends approval of the map amendment request subject to conditions being met. At a special meeting on January 4, 2011, the Planning Board voted to recommend that the City Council approve this request subject to the following conditions being met.

- (1) That there be no more than 3.2 dwelling units per acre;
- (2) A preliminary site plan of the proposed PUD at a scale of not less than one (1) inch to 100 feet shall be presented for the preliminary approval phase. The preliminary plan shall show the entire PUD. The site plan shall show location, approximate size and type of ownership of all structures within the site. It shall also show proposed location of common areas, proposed parking areas and traffic patterns and whether proposed streets are to be public or private. The preliminary site plan shall also show all easements and rights-of-way adjoining or intersecting the property, points of access and egress and intended location of all utilities. General area and types of landscaping and buffers shall be indicated as well as the location of existing structures within 100 feet of the proposed site. Topography of the site at certain intervals not greater than five (5) feet shall also be indicated.
- (3) The developer shall also submit a draft of the Articles of Incorporation for the Homeowners' Association. The Articles of Incorporation shall provide that all owners of property within the development share automatic membership rights and assessment obligations for the maintenance of these areas. The automatic membership rights and assessment obligations of all owners of property within the PUD shall be covered by covenants running with the land and other contractual provisions as to insure the property maintenance of all commonly owned areas, and shall include provisions for liens against the individual properties and legally enforceable personal obligations on the part of the individual property owners within the development.
- (4) The developer shall file with the Building Inspector a statement indicating readiness to proceed with the proposed development. The agreement signed by the owner or owners of the proposed PUD shall state that construction will begin on one (1) or more phases of the development within one (1) year from the date the Special Use Permit is granted, if such is the case, and that it will be prosecuted to completion

within a reasonable period of time.

- (5) If the City Council, upon review by the Planning Board, grants preliminary approval for a PUD, the owner or developer shall submit a final development plan to obtain a Special Use Permit. The final development plan shall cover the entire development; or if it is to be built in phases, final plans shall be presented for each phase prior to construction of that phase. When final plans are prepared for one (1) phase of a PUD, the developer may be required to present detailed plans for data for streets and utilities which will extend beyond that phase but which are necessary for the provision of services in the proposed new phase. Final plans (for at least one (1) phase) shall be presented to the City Council within one (1) year after preliminary approval is granted. Approval shall have been obtained from the City staff and the Planning Board. All drawings shall be at a scale of not less than one (1) inch to 100 feet. The final development plan shall show the following:
- a. Dimensions of the property and adjacent lots and streets.
- b. Location, use and ownership of all buildings, with dimensions and ground area thereof.
- c. Public and private streets, parking areas with spaces and channelization.
- d. All pedestrian ways.
- e. A title, giving the names of the developers, the date, the scale of the plan, and the person or firm preparing the plan.
- f. Proposed landscaping, with property buffers between other uses.
- g. Storm drainage and sanitary sewer, approved by the City Engineer.
- h. Size and location of signs.
- i. Proposed water system and firefighting facilities such as hydrants or sprinkler connections.
- j. The location and heights of all fences, walls, and hedges shall be shown.
- k. Profiles of publicly maintained water and sewer lines.
- 1. Profiles, cross sections and slopes of on-site, off-site ditches carrying water runoff.
- m. Erosion and sedimentation control plan.
- n. Lighting plan where applicable.
- o. Location and amount of recreation area.
- (6) Land not shown as lots or reserved for residential development shall be commonly owned land. Such land shall be designated on the development plan as common area to be held in separate ownership for the use and benefit of residents of the PUD.
- (7) Lots shall be exempt from minimum lot sizes specified in this Zoning Ordinance, except as specified below.
- (8) Single family detached dwellings arranged in a cluster pattern shall conform to the following requirements for distances between principal buildings.
 - a. Front facing street. For units facing a public street, the minimum distance between front walls shall be the distance necessary for a twenty-five (25) foot setback from the public right-of-way. For units facing a private drive, the minimum distance between front walls shall be the distance necessary for a ten (10) foot setback from the private access easement (defined as that specified, measured area approved by the City for the private drive and any required drainage or utility easement.) In all other cases, the minimum distance between front walls shall be at least thirty (30) feet.
 - b. **Rear facing rear.** The minimum distance between the rear walls of main buildings or rested back to back shall be not less than thirty (30) feet from one another; provided, however, that carports or garages of not more than one (1) story and of a total dimension not greater than twenty-four (24) by twenty-four (24) feet, whether attached to or detached from the dwelling, shall be permitted within the intervening space between buildings so oriented where the minimum distance between the rear wall of two (2) garages or carports back to back shall not be closer than six (6) feet from one another and the rear wall of no carport or garage shall be less

than thirty (30) feet from the a rear wall of the house to which it is back to back. (For the purposes of this section, rear wall shall be that opposite the front wall of the house whether or not it shall be the entrance wall of such garage or carport).

- c. **Front facing side.** The minimum distance between the front wall of the building and the side wall of another building shall be not less than fourteen (14) feet.
- d. <u>Side facing side</u>. The minimum distance between side walls of buildings shall not be less than fourteen (14) feet.
- e. **Rear facing side.** The minimum distance between the rear of a building and the side of another building shall be not less than fourteen (14) feet.
- (9) All dwelling units regardless of type shall in no case be located within twenty-five (25) feet of a public right-of-way; however, corner side yards may be permitted no closer than ten (10) feet to a public right-of-way subject to the appropriate clearances for sight visibility.
- (10) The minimum size and the minimum standards of periphery lots that will be adjacent to other property zoned for single family housing shall be the same as the minimum size and minimum standards required in the zoning district where that portion of the PUD is located; provided that this provision may be waived upon the approval of provision of a suitable buffer by developer.
- (11) Traffic circulation may be via public streets or private drives. A private drive is a roadway clearly marked "PRIVATE" and the PUD map and statement of street dedication and ownership filed with the Register of Deeds. Private drives shall be included in the defined common area and maintained by the Homeowner's Association.
- (12) All public streets shall conform to the street requirements of the City of Eden as contained in the Subdivision Ordinance.
- (13) Off-street parking spaces shall be provided in ratio of two (2) spaces per dwelling unit.
- (14) Certification must be obtained from the Rockingham County Environmental Health Department stating that the sewerage capacity of the property is adequate for the proposed number of dwelling units.

Mayor Grogan called for a public hearing and asked if anyone would like to come forward to speak in favor of this request.

Mr. Tom Pratt, the petitioner, explained that he wanted to put his daughter a modular home there and to protect his farm from being isolated which is what the city's regulations would do in the future.

Mayor Grogan asked if anyone else would like to speak in favor or in opposition of this request. As no one else came forward to speak he declared the public hearing closed.

Council Member Hagood commented that he rode out there but did not see a sign posted at the property.

Ms. Stultz replied that it was there, she did not know what happened to it but she had also sent letters out.

Council Member Hagood also questioned the minimum lot size with regard to public or community sewage and water systems that has to be available for a PUD-R

Ms. Stultz explained that it also allows for a larger lot size, right now anywhere that does not have sewer, they have to require the lots to be only as small as the health department would allow and that same requirement they would have to be met with the PUD with no sewer in RS. They have some R-20 in the ETJ that you could have a PUD that did not have sewer and they would be in the same condition. She added that when you say minimum lot size it just simply means they could be no smaller or otherwise they would have to be what the health department requires them to be.

Council Member Hagood asked, so you could have a PUD and it would not require a public or community sewage system.

Ms. Stultz replied that was correct, you can do it in R-20 now or R-12, but you have to have much bigger lots and she had not seen them permit anything 1 or 2 lots in the last five years smaller than an acre.

As there were no more questions, Ms. Stultz asked that they approve the request subject to the conditions that were listed because Mr. Pratt would have to come back and sign the development plan that would have restrictive covenants and lots planned out and all those things for the Council to approve at that time.

A motion was made by Council Member Tuggle seconded by Council Member Burnette to rezone property located at 1951 and 1961 Price Road from Residential-Suburban to Residential-Suburban/PUD-R, subject to the conditions that are listed. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

REQUESTS AND PETITIONS OF CITIZENS:

No one signed up to speak at this time.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

(a) 2011 Boards and Commissions Appointments.

The following seats remain unfilled for the City Boards and Commissions. Below is a list of members whose terms expired in 2010 or who have missed in excess of their allotted absences. You can choose to reappoint the current members or appoint new people to fill these positions. In the case of excessive absences, (three consecutive or 33% of meetings), please consider whether these persons have the time or the ability (for whatever reason) to attend regular meetings and participate in the activities of the board or commission.

Ward 1

Planning Board: Eddie Barker – term expired in 2010

Ward 4

Community Appearance Commission: Vicki Underwood – term expired in 2010; missed 3 out of 8 meetings (37.5% - 2 unexcused; 1 excused)

Ward 5

Board of Adjustment: Charles Johnson – term expired in 2010

Community Appearance Commission: Betty Martin – term expired in 2010 **Planning Board:** Pat Roland – term expired in 2010

Mayor

Community Appearance Commission: Betty Turner – missed 6 out of 8 meetings (75% - 4 excused; 2 unexcused **Tree Board:** Jane Lloyd – term expired in 2010

ETJ Appointments

Board of Adjustment: Steve Underwood – term expired in 2010; missed 1 out of 1 meeting (100% - unexcused)

Council Member Turner – Ward 1 – reappoint Eddie Barker – Planning Board. Council Member Epps – Ward 4 – appoint Faye Shelton – Community Appearance

A motion was made by Council Member Ellis seconded by Council Member Burnette to appoint Eddie Barker to Planning Board (Ward 1) and Faye Shelton to Community Appearance (Ward 4). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

NEW BUSINESS:

(a) Request to adopt an ordinance for the demolition of a structure at 824 Bridge Street under the City of Eden Human Habitation Ordinance.

Attached you will find a section of the Human Habitation Standards Ordinance relating to the steps to be taken when an owner fails to comply with an order to repair or demolish, a copy of the Complaint and Notice of Hearing Before Housing Inspector Under Housing Code and a copy of the Finding of Fact and Order. The City of Eden Human Habitation Standards Ordinance and the enabling legislation permit the City Council, upon adoption of an Ordinance, to order the repair or demolition of the structure. An estimate for the demolition of the structure on this property is \$3,800.00. Once the demolition is done at the City's expense, actions can be taken to recoup the funds in the same manner as the collection of special assessments.

Ms. Stultz presented a slide show depicting the condition of the structure at 824 Bridge Street.

824 BRIDGE STREET TIMELINE

Date	Action
09/24/08	Inspection made - Inspector requested housing case be started
09/29/08	Complaint and Notice of Hearing Before Housing Inspector AND Notice of Lis Pendens prepared
09/30/08	Complaint and Notice of Hearing Before Housing Inspector AND Notice of Lis Pendens mailed to property owner by certified and first class mail
09/30/08	Complaint and Lis Pendens posted on house
10/08/08	Lis Pendens filed in Office of the Clerk of Superior Court of Rockingham County
10/22/08	Property owner signed receipt for Complaint and Notice of Hearing
10/29/08	Hearing - no one was present
10/30/08	Inspection made - "House is boarded and secure"
11/06/08	Finding of Fact and Order prepared - Repair or vacate and close by February 6, 2009

07/08	Finding of Fact and Order mailed by certified and first class mail to property owner
11/07/08	Finding of Fact and Order posted on house
11/26/08	Property owner signed receipt for Order
03/16/09	Received bid proposal for demolition - \$2100; received by mistake when request was made for adjoining property
07/08/09	Inspection made - "vacant and secureneeds nuisance for hwput on demo "watch list"
08/06/09	Bobby Robertson came to office to say that he has taken over the house and will make the repairs
	Bobby Robertson came by the office on several occasions to say he is trying to obtain ownership from sister and will make repairs
06/09/10	Letter sent to property owner that City intends to enforce N.C.G.S. 160A-443 if repairs are not made within 30 days of letter
07/06/10	Property is conveyed to Douglas McKinley Akins and wife, Valerie R. Akins - niece of previous property owner
08/03/10	City Attorney informs Planning Department that house cannot be demolished based on letter of intent to enforce N.C.G.S. 160A-443 that owner abandoned the intent and purpose to repair, alter or improve the dwelling
08/03/10	Inspection made - no changes
08/05/10	Complaint and Notice of Hearing prepared
08/06/10	Complaint and Notice of Hearing posted on house
08/06/10	Complaint and Notice of Hearing mailed by certified and first class mail to property owners
08/13/10	Residential Building Permit issued to property owner for "replacing back porch and roof"
08/26/10	Hearing - Douglas Akins came to office and met with inspector; Owner was informed that he needed to make substantial repairs prior to the deadline on the Order otherwise authorization for demolition would be requested; He was also informed that it would not be considered until January because of the holidays and he needed to make good use of the additional time
08/27/10	Finding of Fact and Order prepared - Repair or vacate and demolish by November 27, 2010
08/27/10	Finding of Fact and Order mailed to property owners by certified and first class mail
08/27/10	Telephone call was made to Douglas Akins telling him that the Order was being mailed by certified mail and they needed to sign for them or they would be served by the Sheriff
08/30/10	Finding of Fact and Order posted on house
09/08/10	Complaint and Notice of Hearing returned by USPS marked "unclaimed"
09/13/10	Finding of Fact and Order returned by the USPS marked "unclaimed"
00/17/10	Finding of Foot and Onder models des Shoriff of Chilfs o
09/16/10	Finding of Fact and Order mailed to Sheriff of Guilford County for service on property owners
10/06/10	Sheriff of Guilford County served Finding of Fact and Order on property owners

11/19/10	Residential Electrical Permit was issued to Bruce Shaw Electric Service
01/03/11	Inspection made - "some demo work has been done but no repairs at this time"

A motion was made by Council Member Tuggle seconded by Council Member Turner to adopt an ordinance for the demolition of a structure at 824 Bridge Street under the City of Eden Human Habitation Ordinance. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

(b) Request from First Presbyterian Church for the removal of the traffic control device on Southwood Drive.

This item was pulled from the agenda.

REPORTS FROM STAFF:

Mayor Grogan explained that he had asked Mr. Mike Dougherty, Director of Business & Economic Development, if he would give a brief report.

Mr. Dougherty explained that he and Ms. Cindy Adams recently went to a competitive study by Dr. James Johnson of UNC School of Government. Mr. Johnson talked about how Rockingham County could be more competitive and one of the more interesting comments he made was that there seems to be a prevailing sense of pessimism in the county.

Mr. Dougherty stated that he guessed that after you see the 24-hour news cycle in the last 3 years there was nothing but pretty bad stuff but there have been some really good things happening in Eden. He pointed out that Mayor Grogan spoke at the NAACP Banquet Saturday night and he (Dougherty) also spoke with the Eden and Reidsville Rotaries and he wanted to share some of the things that he gave to them.

As they were aware, Duke Energy has invested \$711 million on their new energy plant with 400 to 500 temporary workers who would help the city's existing businesses. Miller-Coors was currently expanding 70,000 square feet after an investment of \$100 million. He also noted that retirements have also created job opportunities in the last couple of years. Fifty-three (53) new jobs were added at Loparex with their recent expansion. Gildan Activewear, the largest distributor of t-shirts in the country has completed a 250,000 square foot expansion and they have also added 80 jobs in the last two years. Mabe Trucking had an increase of 28% of their employment in 2009-2010. Cargill closed a year ago and Alltech, a global company came in there and they were now processing yeast for Miller and they plan to triple the size of their operation this year. He stated that there was another company he could not name at this time, but when he met with them they talked about bringing a customer service department to their company which would create jobs.

He stated that he guessed the biggest project of course was the regional mega park and the partnership they have with the City of Danville and Pittsylvania County. This park was designed to attract an automobile plant, chemical or steel company and 7,000 to 15,000 workers could be employed there.

CONSENT AGENDA:

- (a) Approval and adoption of minutes: December 21, 2010.
- (b) Approval and Adoption of Budget Amendment #7.

When the City purchases vehicles with installment purchases, it is required to show the loan proceeds as a revenue and the payment to the vendor as an expenditure in the financial statements. This amendment increases the Water & Sewer Fund revenue line item "loan proceeds" and also increases the W/S Maintenance C/O Vehicles line item for the purchase of the Sewer Vac Truck.

Subject: Budget Amendment # 7

	Account #	From	Т	То		Amount		
Water & Sewer Fund Revenues								
W/S Loan Proceeds	30-3850-86000	\$	- \$	313,350.00	\$	313,350.00		
Water & Sewer Fund Expenditures								
W/S Maint C/O Vehicles	30-7125-55000	\$	- \$	313,350.00	\$	313,350.00		

To allocate loan proceeds for the sewer vac truck.

Adopted and effective this 18th day of January, 2011.

Attest:

Sheralene Thompson, City Clerk

John Grogan, Mayor

(c) Approval and Adoption of Budget Amendment #8.

The Police Department received a grant from Wal-Mart in the amount of \$1,000 to buy digital cameras. This budget amendment appropriates this money to the Police Revenue line item and the Police Department – Departmental Supplies expenditure line item.

Subject: Budget Amendment # 8

	Account # From			То		Amount		
General Fund Revenues								
Police Revenues	10-3431-41700	\$	1,100.00	\$	2,100.00	\$	1,000.00	

General Fund Expenditures

Police Department Supplies 10-4310-29100 \$ 23,000.00 \$ 24,000.00 \$ 1,000.00

To allocate grant from Wal-Mart to buy cameras for the Police Department.

Adopted and effective this 18th day of January, 2011.

Attest:

Sheralene Thompson, City Clerk

John Grogan, Mayor

(d) Approval and Adoption of Resolution Supporting the Continued Governors Crime Commission Funding of the Rockingham County Forensic/Gang Prevention Bureau.

RESOLUTION

In the matter regarding the Rockingham County Forensic/Gang Prevention Bureau's application for grant renewal and continued funding;

WHEREAS the State of North Carolina through the Governor's Crime Commission recognized the need of, and approved grant funding for the creation of the Rockingham County Forensic/Gang Prevention Bureau on July 1, 2009; and,

WHEREAS grant funding for the operation of the Rockingham County Forensic/Gang Prevention Bureau will expire on June 30, 2011; and,

WHEREAS the Bureau was created to assist criminal justice and youth services providers in Rockingham County in documenting and suppressing criminal activities in the county by providing forensic services including documentation of gang participation in criminal activities; and,

WHEREAS the Bureau has coordinated the completion of two independent studies to determine the extent of gang participation in Rockingham County resulting in the determination of at least 28 active, separate gangs with over 400 suspected members; and,

WHEREAS the Bureau was created to implement a collaborative Focused Deterrence program involving multiple community shareholders to counter and intervene in gang affiliation and gang activities in Rockingham County; and,

WHEREAS there exists a documented need for the Rockingham County Forensic/Gang Prevention Bureau's continued committed participation to reduce criminal gang activity; and,

WHEREAS Rockingham County and its inclusive municipalities continue to experience limited fiscal revenues as a Tier One county;

THEREFORE, We the Eden City Council resolve to acknowledge and communicate our support for the continued Governors Crime Commission funding of the Rockingham County Forensic/Gang Prevention Bureau through an additional two year grant for this invaluable program.

This the 18th day of January, 2011.

John E. Grogan, Mayor

ATTEST:

Sheralene S. Thompson, City Clerk

(e) Appointment/Reappointment to ABC Board Chair.

It is time to appoint or reappoint the position of Chairman to the ABC Board. This position is appointed annually and Mr. Neville Hall is currently the chair.

It is my recommendation to reappoint Mr. Hall to serve as ABC Chairman in 2011.

(f) Consideration and approval to change the regular March 15 City Council Meeting to March 22.

We have received a request from Mayor Grogan to change the March 15th Council Meeting to March 22nd due to a conflict with NLC Congressional Cities Conference in Washington DC.

(g) Approval and adoption of Traffic Study and Ordinance – Virginia Street.

I received a traffic study request from Council Member Ellis in reference to decreasing the speed limit on Virginia Street from East Aiken Road to Roosevelt Street.

The speed limit on Virginia Street from East Aiken Road to Roosevelt Street is currently 35 MPH. This section of Virginia Street leads to a residential area with one business located at the corner of Virginia Street and East Aiken Road. This section of Virginia Street is intersected by Haizlip Street and Chatham Court, both of which are currently posted 25 MPH (see attached).

The Police Department's recommendation would be to set the speed limit for Virginia Street from East Aiken Road to Roosevelt Street to 25 MPH.

(h) Approval and adoption of Traffic Study and Ordinance – Carolyn Court.

I received a traffic study request from Council Member Burnette in reference to decreasing the speed limit on Carolyn Court and Highland Park Drive north of Kings Hwy (see attached).

The speed limit on Carolyn Court and Highland Park Drive north of Kings Hwy is currently 35 MPH. This area is both residential and business in nature with apartments, condominiums, a senior citizen home, and a daycare center. The speed limit on Highland Park Drive south of Kings Hwy is currently posted as 25 MPH.

The Police Department's recommendation would be to set the speed limit for Carolyn Court and Highland Park Drive north of Kings Hwy to 25 MPH.

(i) Approval and adoption of Traffic Study and Ordinance – Field Street.

I received a traffic study request from Council Member Turner in reference to no parking on Field Street at the intersection of Elm Street.

Field Street is located in a residential area and intersects Elm Street as a tee intersection (see attached). Problems with traffic congestion at this intersection caused by vehicles parked along the roadside of Field Street have been reported both by residents and school bus drivers that must travel this intersection on their route.

The Police Department's recommendation would be to prohibit parking on Field Street from the intersection of Elm Street 100 feet toward Oakwood Drive.

(j) Appointment to ABC Board.

A motion was made by Council Member Tuggle seconded by Council Member Ellis to approve Consent Agenda. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

CLOSED SESSION:

Closed Session according to NC General Statute 143-318.11(a)(5) to establish or instruct the staff or agent concerning the terms of a contract regarding real property located off of Riverside Circle.

A motion was made by Council Member Burnette seconded by Council Member Hagood to go into Closed Session according to NC General Statute 143-318.11 (a)(5) to establish or instruct the staff or agent concerning the terms of a contract regarding real property located off of Riverside Circle.

OPEN SESSION:

A motion was made by Council Member Turner seconded by Council Member Carter to return to Open Session. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by Council Member Burnette seconded by Council Member Hagood to adjourn. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

	Respectfully submitted						
	Sheralene City Clerk	S.	Thompson,	CMC			
ATTEST:							
John E. Grogan, Mayor							