#### CITY OF EDEN, N. C.

The regular meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, was held on Tuesday, March 16, 2004 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 308 E. Stadium Drive. Those present for the meeting were as follows:

Mayor: Philip K. Price
Mayor Pro Tem: Christine H. Myott
Council Members: Donna Turner

Billy Vestal Jerry Epps C.H. Gover, Sr. Bruce Nooe Wayne Tuggle Brad Corcoran

City Manager: Brad Corcorate City Clerk: Kim J. Scott

Deputy City Clerk: Sheralene Thompson

City Attorney: Tom Medlin

Representatives from Departments:

Representatives from News Media: Kim Mitchell, <u>Eden Daily News</u>

Lisa Doss, Eden's Own

#### **MEETING CONVENED:**

Mayor Price called the regular meeting of the Eden City Council to order and welcomed those in attendance. He explained that the Council meets the third Tuesday of each month at 7:30 p.m. and works from a prepared agenda; however, time would be set aside for business not on the printed agenda.

# **INVOCATION:**

Mr. Todd Millsaps, Pastor, Spray Baptist Church, was present to give the invocation.

# PROCLAMATION:

Mayor Price asked Mrs. Kelly Stultz, Director of Planning & Inspections and Mr. Benny Sexton, Public Works Director, to come forward as he read the following proclamation in recognition of the North Carolina Department of Transportation's Spring 2004 Litter Sweep.

#### LITTER SWEEP Spring 2004

**WHEREAS**, the North Carolina Department of Transportation organizes an annual Spring statewide roadside cleanup to ensure clean and beautiful roads in North Carolina; and

**WHEREAS,** the Spring 2004 "LITTER SWEEP" roadside cleanup will take place April 17-30, 2004, and encourage local governments and communities, civic and professional groups, businesses, churches, schools, families and individual citizens to participate in the Department of Transportation cleanup by sponsoring and organizing local roadside cleanups; and

**WHEREAS**, the great natural beauty of our State and a clean environment are a source of great pride for all North Carolinians, attracting tourists and aiding in recruiting new industries; and

**WHEREAS**, the cleanup will increase awareness of the need for cleaner roadsides, emphasize the importance of not littering, and encourage recycling of solid wastes; and

**WHEREAS,** the 2004 Spring cleanup will celebrate the 16<sup>th</sup> Anniversary of North Carolina Adopt-A-Highway program and its 6,000 volunteer groups that donate their labor and time year round to keep our roadsides clean.

**WHEREAS,** the "*LITTER SWEEP*" cleanup will be a part of educating the children of this Great State regarding the importance of a clean environment to the quality of life in North Carolina;

**NOW, THEREFORE, I**, PHILIP K. PRICE, Mayor of the City of Eden, North Carolina, do hereby proclaim April 17-30, 2004, as

Page 560

#### "LITTER SWEEP"

in the City of Eden, and encourage all citizens to take an active role in making their communities cleaner and more beautiful.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF**, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the City of Eden, North Carolina, this the 16<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2004.

s/Philip K. Price
Philip K. Price
Mayor

\*\*\*\*\*\*

#### **PUBLIC HEARINGS:**

(a) Consideration of a zoning map amendment request and an ordinance to amend Section 11.28 (a) of the City of Eden Zoning Ordinance to defer applications for residential uses for a period of 120 days from the City Council's regular meeting on March 16, 2004. ZONING CASE Z-04-01.

The memorandum presented to Council explained that at their regular meeting in February the Council scheduled a public hearing to hear comments regarding a zoning text amendment request filed by the City Council. The request was to amend Section 11.28(a) (actually 11.27(a)) of the City of Eden Zoning Ordinance to defer applications for residential uses in the Business-Central and Business-General zoning districts for a period of 120 days from the City Council's regular meeting on March 16, 2004.

The Planning and Inspections Department recommended approval of the text amendment request. The Planning Board considered this request during their regular meeting on February 24, 2004 and recommended that the City Council approve the request.

Mayor Price asked Mrs. Stultz to come forward with a report.

Mrs. Kelly Stultz, Director of Planning and Zoning, explained that this was a text amendment that would create a deferment process whereby they would accept no applications for residential uses in their traditional downtown districts for a period of 120 days from this evening should the Council approve this request. At any time during the process if the Planning Board finishes their review and then all the various community stakeholders had a chance to look and they get it back to Council before that time and they were satisfied, they could make the amendment and list it at any point they choose.

The City Attorney mentioned that when preparing the ordinance, it came to his attention that the actual section that needed to be amended was 11.27 (a) and he thought it was a typo.

Mrs. Stultz replied that it was a typo, but it was corrected in the letter.

Mayor Price called for the public hearing and asked for those wishing to speak in favor or in opposition to this request. As no one came forward to speak in favor or opposition to the request, Mayor Price declared the public hearing closed.

A motion was made by Council Member Epps seconded by Council Member Gover to approve this request. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

# **MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT:**

(a) Financial Report.

A motion was made by Council Member Tuggle seconded by Council Member Myott to approve the Finance Report. All Council Members present voted in favor of this motion.

#### **REQUEST AND PETITIONS OF CITIZENS:**

(a)Mr. Lindley Butler, Mr. Jeff Johnston, Mr. Bud Stickels to address Council regarding Saving the Bridge.

Page 561

Mr. Bud Stickels, 604 S. Hamilton Street, explained that his purpose for being there was to save the old Route 87 Bridge. He reminded them that the last time he was there, three points were made. One was the maintenance cost of accepting this bridge from the State at no cost, secondly was the liability involved in such a venture and thirdly, what other bridges had been saved in the State

He stated that to answer the question of liability, they did check on that and a million dollar umbrella would cost the city somewhere between \$200 and \$800 a year, so that was almost negligible.

Mr. Corcoran added that they had checked with the city's liability carrier and they said they could add it to the liability policy for under \$100.

Mr. Stickels noted that on the maintenance, he had a chance to check the latest DOT report and as he had mentioned the last time, the bridge was fairly structurally sound. There were three portions, the causeway and entrance from the city side to the arch, the arch itself, and then the long causeway on the county side. The long causeway on the county side was pretty deteriorated and needed to be demolished. He stated that their proposal was to let the contractor tear that portion down leaving the sound arch and the entrance from the city's side. Those two portions of the structure were relatively sound. Speaking from an engineering standpoint, the maintenance cost in the foreseeable future would be negligible. It was a concrete structure and this was virtually little or no maintenance in the foreseeable future.

The third point made was where other bridges had been saved in North Carolina. He had presented them with a copy of a visit that was made to Bynum, North Carolina. They have a small bridge that had been abandoned by the new route 15-501 and this little bridge was very similar and it was left in place. He noted that they were there on a beautiful Sunday afternoon and stood on that bridge and looked down at the rapids. There was a kayaker coming down at the time and they had a chance to talk to him. He said that spot was one of the most popular places in North Carolina for kayakers and boaters. The rapids were absolutely beautiful. They then took a trip to Gulf, North Carolina. The bridge there that was left in place with a new highway built very close to it was a steel structure, the old camel back, and that did require maintenance as it had to be painted every 10 to 15 years. That was not the case with the 87 bridge. He pointed out the fact that the old camel back bridge was left there, they have picnics, bike outings, it was a beautiful park, and to stand on that bridge and look over the side it was absolutely gorgeous and a park setting all around.

He asked them to imagine what they could do with the bridge on 87. It would be a pier so to speak, at the end of which they would build a timber bridge (at a later phase) that would go to the beach side of the Dan River to the county side. They would have that access and it would fit in the plan of the green space, the biker and hiker trail that the city was currently developing.

Mr. Lindley Butler, 628 Cedar Lane, Wentworth, added he thought they all had a copy of the North Carolina Recreation Guide. He noted that the bridge was on the front cover and it was going out all over the United States. This was a major recreation magazine that the State puts out. The City of Eden in effect was featured on the cover, basically because of the beautiful picture that a local photographer took. He stated that they may have some people coming there this year wanting to see the bridge.

He stated that he thought they have heard the cost of liability was virtually almost nil with the concrete arch, no maintenance for any foreseeable future on this structure. It was also a feature of a trail system that they hoped the city would develop through grants. He noted that Danville did the same thing and they have a six mile trail system in the city featuring a railroad bridge much older than this one and right in the center of the city. He added that he also just found out this week that Madison-Mayodan had developed a two mile trail system over there, along the river, so there was a lot going on with this type of recreation in the county. He pointed out that Eden has the crown jewel so if they were expecting people to come for recreation, Eden has a natural water park at Spray and this bridge could be a featured part of it. The timing was right for the grant on this bridge and if it was gone, it was gone and it could not be replaced.

Page 562

Council Member Myott asked if he knew for sure this bridge did not need any maintenance. She asked if an expert looked at it.

Mr. Butler noted that Mr. Stickels was a certified and qualified engineer. He added he was not an engineer, but in the arched area, there was no apparent weakness in that area and it was not showing any cracks or any problems, it was the causeway leading into it that was the problem.

Council Member Turner asked if there would be a walkway on the new bridge.

Mayor Price replied that it would have walkways on both sides and Mr. Butler added that it had sidewalks and as far as he knew, there were no designs for guardrails on either side.

Mr. Johnston also added that the sidewalks were raised 6" above the level of the bridge.

Mr. Butler stated that if that was going to be a heavy pedestrian use that would have been a good thing to do in the design and if the old bridge goes, and the new bridge then becomes a part of a trail system it was probably too late to work a guardrail in as a part of the design, it could possibly happen on a grant. He added that he would not want his children crossing a highway bridge like that without some type of guardrail, nevertheless there were sidewalks.

Mayor Price thanked them for their presentation and their efforts for providing recreation for Eden and the walkways that were planned in the future.

Mr. Stickels clarified that question Council Member Myott had raised in that there was no way of getting certification from an engineer that it would be absolutely cost free, however and they looked at the DOT report, as a professional engineer he could aver that there was no maintenance required in the foreseeable future. Now, 60 or 80 years from now, who was to say, he could not put his seal and signature to a guarantee that it would be maintenance cost free, but common sense would tell them that the bridge they had seen at Bynam had been there for ten years without any maintenance. He stated that he could speak to the fact that virtually no maintenance cost would be required. As for the green space, it fits so well to the plans they have to develop their water resources, to try to get the new bridge to accommodate that new bike and path, it was not compatible, and this bridge would fit beautifully.

Mayor Price asked him to speak a second on the path, on down the river now.

Mr. Stickels replied that on the city side, there was a landing plan that would be a path from the city side down to the water's edge that would fit it beautifully with the plans.

Mr. Johnston, Wentworth, NC, noted that the plans they were speaking of were indeed not yet fortifying the city itself, but plans that DRBA was working on with the county and other organizations would include a walkway, trail, bike riding, horseback riding and so on, on both sides of the Dan River, and surrounding part of this bridge. On the county's side of the Dan River it would perhaps reach miles up river, on the Eden city side, they have talked with landowners and have worked out an idea that would work at least up to the city limits, Matrimony Creek, and then down to the Smith River confluents, and then that would join in with the first phase of the greenway that Kelly was working with now along the Smith River.

Council Member Epps asked if the State of North Carolina gave the bridge in Gulf to the Deep River Park Association. He asked if North Carolina was in favor of giving this to his organization.

Mr. Johnston replied that the State of North Carolina stated that they have to by law give it to a government entity. They could not give it to them.

Mayor Price thanked them all for coming and giving their presentation.

Mr. Lewis Dishmon, 125 Bird Haven Trail, Reidsville, Addressed Council:

Page 563

Mr. Lewis Dishmon, 125 Bird Haven Trail, Reidsville, explained that he was present on behalf of Local 294T which was Karastan's local union. He stated that he had talked with Council Member Turner and was asking that Council consider placing pedestrian traffic lights at the entrance of Henry Street and Harris Street and also to reconsider the No Parking Zone in front of the guardhouse on Henry Street. He stated that he had talked with Officer Frazier and the city has placed an officer up there to help cut down on speeding. They were doing a traffic study and he understood from Officer Frazier that it was not yet complete. He was under the impression that it was to be on the agenda tonight and he informed him that it was maybe next month before it made it.

He explained that his local members, as well as others who work there, were asking for pedestrian signs for safety. He noted they have had an incident of a car coming through there that has hit a fence and run a stop sign and they feel that the city could do them a good turn as one of the few remaining manufacturing facilities in Eden. He pointed out that they pay a lot of taxes and he represented a lot of people who would like for them to consider his proposal.

Mayor Price asked what exactly the problem was.

Mr. Dishmon replied that there were too many people speeding, they have people not slowing down as they approach the stop sign and the pedestrian crossing at shift changes. He stated that he would really like to see a flashing pedestrian light placed on each side of the street or as Mr. Frazier called it a street sign that lets you know you are coming up to a stop sign that would come on 30 minutes prior to a shift change and last 15 minutes after, so traffic would be aware there were 200 to 300 people at different times changing shifts. He stated he would also like to see signs placed up, possibly the pedestrian crossing. He noted that one of those had been taken care of but they would like to see the other one repainted and re-striped in the road. They would also like to have a No Loading/No Parking Zone, which he understood they were looking into to see if it has been voted on. He stated that he would like to see that made into a 15 minute parking area where employees could stop and pick up their checks. That would generally only be used on Thursday. He stated that he was aware that further up the street there was a residential section with parking on both sides of the road.

He stated that he had spoken with Officer Frazier and he said that out of 167 people they had clocked there the average speed was about 23 miles an hour. He noted that did not actually make his case that well, but he did say he planned to study some traffic there and he did seem to think they need warning signs to let people know. He explained that where they come in their main gate, it dead ends or circles and they have a stop sign that sits basically in a traffic island and they need signs that warn there was going to be a stop. They need a replacement stop sign due to the color has faded and the placement was not correct. Officer Frazier also told him that the City Council was going to look into whether or not that area was actually zoned as No Parking.

Council Member Tuggle asked if there had been any accidents there or near misses.

Mr. Dishmon replied that basically what brought this on, about 3 or 4 months ago, a car came through the stop sign and piled into the chain link fence, opposite the guardhouse. They then left the scene. He noted that also several years ago a lady was hit coming out of the office facility, however he had not found that incident so he did not know if it was reported or not. He stated that the main concern was the shift thing, 7:00, 3:00 and 11:00.

# **UNFINISHED BUSINESS:**

(a) Consideration of adoption of an ordinance for the demolition of a building located at 204 Sunset Drive.

The memorandum explained that this item was considered at Council's regular February meeting and tabled.

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Myott to approve this request.

Page 564

Council Member Tuggle noted that as he read through this the city demolishes the house and whatever proceeds goes toward the total cost of recouping that for the city. He asked if that was correct.

Mrs. Stultz replied, yes they would pursue the normal avenues before Mr. Medlin files a lawsuit to take the property to repay them.

Council Member Tuggle stated that his only concern was, and he knew one of the heirs (and he was not even sure what the situation was) but as an example, if they were trying to pursue the person, if they have nothing, and he happened to know that in this particular incident, this person lost their mother, their children and everything and the last thing he would want was to have somebody that was a poor person and could not afford anything and the city stays on their back and they could not get on their feet again, he questioned what they would do in a situation like that. He stressed that the last thing he wanted was for somebody trying to get back on their feet, and he hated to add insult to injury because they have already lost their family, they have lost their house, they have lost their property and yet when they were trying to get back on their feet again, the city was pursuing them trying to do something with them trying to get more money out of them and he happened to know that this person was destitute. He asked what they did in a situation like that.

Mr. Medlin replied that if they were in that situation, they could not repay it themselves, and then the property would stand for repaying it, so the city would proceed against the property. At anytime of course the Council could decide not to proceed to try to collect it if the staff was instructed, but otherwise they would proceed against the property.

Council Member Tuggle stated that he had hoped there was something in there where they would have some feelings towards this person without pursuing.

Mrs. Stultz stated that she was sorry. She thought the Statutes say they collect it like special assessments which only allow it if they send them a bill and they did not pay it to the lien on the property, it was not like taxes where they could do bank accounts.

Action on the motion was as follows: All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

#### **NEW BUSINESS:**

(a) Consideration of an ordinance for the demolition of a building located at 427 Riverside Drive.

The memorandum explained that the request was that the Council adopt an ordinance for the demolition of the subject property.

A motion was made by Council Member Epps seconded by Council Member Tuggle to approve this request. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(b) Consideration a request to NCDOT to remove abandoned sign in the right-of-way of Washington Street near the Matrimony Creek Bridge.

The memorandum explained that for several years the small brick "Welcome to Eden" sign that is located on Washington Street near the Matrimony Creek Bridge, has continued to deteriorate. Department staff has made several attempts to locate the entity that erected the sign to discover the responsible party so that it can be removed

Attempts to locate such a group have failed. Councilmen Epps and Tuggle have both recently expressed concerns about the sign and indicated they would support its removal. It was asked that the City Council authorize staff to contact the N.C.D.O.T and get permission to remove the sign from the Right-of-Way. The Director of Planning & Inspections has spoken with the N.C.D.O.T staff and they do not oppose the sign's removal

A motion was made by Council Member Tuggle seconded by Council Member Epps to approve this request.

Council Member Vestal asked if they ever found anything at all on the sign.

Page 565

Mrs. Stultz replied that she started trying to find the owner more than a decade ago. The only information that she was able to glean was someone remembered that a committee loosely associated with the Chamber of Commerce put it up, but there was nothing in the Chamber's records.

Council Member Nooe asked if she had checked the Planning Board's records. He explained that he had something to do with that and he was not sure but he was on the Planning Board when he was working for John Smith, back in 1986.

Mrs. Stultz pointed out that at the time it was done very well, but since then nobody wanted to claim they owned it in order to maintain it.

Mayor Price noted that Council Member Nooe was correct. He happened to be one of the three who was standing there the day the sign was put up and it was a committee that was interested in doing something for the community and it has been certainly overshadowed by the beautiful signs they have now and it was time for it to come down.

Council Member Myott suggested that the sign could be used to advertise upcoming events.

Mrs. Stultz explained that the only kind of sign that could be in the right-of-way and be legal was a governmental sign. If the City Council decided they want to put an informational sign like that up some where they would have to go DOT and ask for some different permission but anywhere in the city's rights-of-way they could certainly choose to do that.

Council Member Tuggle pointed out that it was a small brick sign and it was not large enough to where they could put anything on it.

Council Member Myott stated that it did not look that bad except for the lettering to which Mrs. Stultz replied that if the Council had rather they could go out and take the letters off.

Mayor Price added that he really thought that with informational and promotional signs, they would want perhaps something of a little bit better design.

Before the vote, Council Member Vestal explained that he just did not want anyone coming back two months from now wanting their sign put back.

Action on the motion was as follows: All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

#### **CONSENT AGENDA:**

- (a) Approval and adoption of minutes: February 11, 17, 2004.
- (b) Approval and adoption of a Resolution Supporting the Naming of the New Smith River Bridge and the Highway 87 Bridge.

# RESOLUTION SUPPORTING THE NAMING OF THE NEW SMITH RIVER BRIDGE AND THE HIGHWAY 87 BRIDGE

WHEREAS, two major bridge replacements in the City of Eden are planned or currently under construction; and

WHEREAS, these two bridges will be landmarks for years to come; and

WHEREAS, such landmarks are worthy of dignified and appropriate locations of great importance to the City of Eden;

WHEREAS, these two bridges are in historically significant locations of great importance to the City of Eden;

# NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY OF EDEN HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RECOMMENDS THE FOLLOWING:

1. That the new bridge over the Smith River, near the traffic circle, be named "Island Ford Bridge", in recognition of the fact that it marks the well-used route where the early settlers of the area regularly forded the river; and

Page 566

2. That the new bridge over the Dan River, on Highway 87, be named "Leaksville Landing Bridge", in recognition of the adjacent structures still visible in the river, marking the landing for the river traffic that nurtured the town of Leaksville as early as the 1790's.

The Commission asks that this be adopted this 16th of March, 2004.

Marianne Aiken, Chair, Historic Preservation Commission Marianne Aiken, Chair, Historic Preservation Commission

Attest, Debra W. Galloway, Planner Administrative Assistant to the Historic Preservation Commission

(c) Approval and adoption of a motion for Main Street Advisory Board additional positions.

The memorandum explained that currently, the Main Street Advisory Board is comprised of the following:

- 1 representative each from the three downtown areas (3 total)
- 1 representative from the Historic Preservation Commission
- 1 representative of the Planning Board
- 1 representative of the Community Appearance Commission
- 1 representative from the Eden Chamber of Commerce
- 2 at large members
- 9 members total

Based on the information received at the Morganton Main Street Annual Meeting, the Main Street Advisory Board considered the addition of the following Board positions.

1 member from a commercial real estate firm

To provide commercial real estate expertise

1 member from the hospital

To provide marketing expertise and resources for special events

1 member from a financial institution

To provide expertise on SBA loans and other financial resources available to small business owners 1 member with construction experience

To provide knowledge of code compliance requirements and constructions costs to current and prospective property owners.

The Main Street Advisory Board approved the addition of these positions at its February 2004 meeting. North Carolina Main Street Director Rodney Swink supports the addition of these positions as well.

The request was that the City Council accept the recommendation of the Main Street Advisory Board to add the new board positions at its March 2004 meeting.

(d) Consideration of approval of appointments to the Main Street Advisory Board.

During the Community Appearance Commission's February meeting, the commission recommended approval of the appointment of Jennifer Clark to the Main Street Advisory Board.

During the Planning Board February meeting, the board recommended approval of the appointment of Eddie Barker to the Main Street Advisory Board.

(e) Approval and adoption of a motion for an extension on the time frame for an upcoming timber sale, Whitt Family Farms.

The memorandum explained that In per se of the Option to Purchase Real Estate dated the 18th day of March, 2002, between Whitt Family Farms, LLC and Citizens Economic Development, Inc., Whitt Family Farms would like to request an extension on the time frame for an upcoming timber sale.

According to the agreement, Whitt Family Farms, during this option period, has the right to enter into Timber Deeds covering the property under this Option upon the terms and conditions set forth in paragraph 8. This paragraph says the Seller shall not enter into any Timber Contracts on any land contained in Tracts 1, 2, or 3 described above which shall exceed six months in duration without Buyer's written permission.

The request was for written permission asking that no more than 100 acres but no less than 50 acres of the sale shall be harvested before March 15,2005. All remaining trees which are to be cut and removed from the Land shall be cut and removed there from on or before December 31,2005. The affected areas would be Tracts 2 and 3 and contain approximately 145 acres.

(f) Approval and adoption of a motion for a city representative, Ms. Deanna Hunt, to serve on the Rockingham County Animal Shelter Task Force.

Page 567

The memorandum explained that former Council Member John Grogan was appointed to serve on this Task Force as a city representative. Due to the fact that their monthly meetings are held on Tuesday nights, usually the same nights as Council, no one from the Council could attend. Ms. Deanna Hunt has indicated that she would be interested in being the city's representative, as long as she did not have to speak before Council.

## (g) Approval and adoption of a motion to solicit bids – 2004 Street Contract.

The Engineering Department requests authorization from City Council to solicit bids for the 2004 Street Contract. The Public Works Committee has approved the proposed project and has endorsed the attached street resurfacing list. Once the bids are received and a responsive low bidder has been determined, a recommendation on award of the contract will be presented to Council for approval to award.

## (h) Approval and adoption of budget amendments 5, 6, and 7.

This is the Fifth Budget Amendment for the year.

Budget Amendment # 5 General Fund	Account #	From	То	Amount
Parks & Recreation				
Telephone	10-6120-32100	\$ 23,900.00	\$5,900.00	\$ (18,000.00)
Utilities	10-6120-33100	\$ 95,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$ (60,000.00)
Utilities/Gas	10-6120-33300	\$ 22,100.00	\$ 4,100.00	\$ (18,000.00)
<b>Public Building</b>				
Services				
Telephone	10-6220-32100	\$ -	\$ 18,000.00	\$ 18,000.00
Utilities	10-6220-33100	\$ -	\$ 60,000.00	\$ 60,000.00
Utilities/Gas	10-6220-33300	\$ -	\$180,000.00	\$ 18,000.00
				\$ -

Budget Amendment 5 is to re-appropriate monies out of the Cultural and recreation department and into a separate department as recommended by City Staff and supported by the auditors.

\*\*\*\*\*

This is the Sixth Budget Amendment for the year.

Budget Amendment # 6 Special Services Fund Revenues	Account #	From	То	Amount
Run-About Fees	22-3612-84000	\$ 70,000.00	\$ -	\$(70,000.00)
Special Service Consolidated Interest	22-3831-49000	\$ 70.00	\$ -	\$ (70.00)
Transfer to Run-About Travel Fund	22-3999-00000	\$ -	\$ -	\$70,070.00
Special Service Fund Expenditures				
Historic Preservation	22-9100-29900	\$ 70.00	\$ -	\$ (70.00)
Run-About Travel Expense	22-9100-31200	\$ 70,000.00	\$ -	\$ (70,000.00)
Transfer to Run-About Travel Fund	22-9999-00000	\$ -	\$ -	<u>\$ 70,070.00</u>
				\$ -
Runabout Travel Fund Revenues				
Transfer from Special Service Fund	25-3999-00000	\$ -	\$ 70,070.00	\$ 70,070.00
Run-About Travel Fees	25-3612-84000	\$ -	\$ 70,070.00	\$ 70,070.00 \$140,140.00
Run-About Travel Fund Expenditures				
Transfer from Special Services Fund	25-9100-00000	\$ -	\$ 70,070.00	\$ 70,070.00

				Page 568
Run-About Travel Expenses	25-9100-31200	\$ -	\$ 70,070.00	\$ 70,070.00
				\$ 140,140.00

Budget Amendment 6 is to transfer monies to create a Run-About Travel Fund as recommended by the City's Auditors.

\*\*\*\*\*

This is the Seventh Budget Amendment for the year.

Budget Amendment # 7 Special Services Fund Revenues	Account #	From	То	Amount
Consolidated Interest Transfer to Historic Preservation Fund	22-3831-49000 22-3999-00000	\$ 30.00 \$ 0.00	\$ 0.00 \$ 30.00	\$ (30.00) \$ 30.00 <u>\$0.00</u>
Special Service Fund Expenditures				
Historic Preservation Misc. Expense	22-9100-29900	\$ 30.00	\$ -	\$ (30.00)
Transfer to Historic Preservation Fund	22-9999-01000	\$ -	\$ 30.00	<u>\$ 30.00</u>
				<u>\$ -</u>
Historic Preservation Fund Revenues				
Transfer from Special Service Fund	26-3999-00000	\$ -	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
Consolidated Interest	26-3831-49000	\$ -	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00 \$ 60.00
Historic Preservation Fund Expenditures				
Transfer from Special Service Fund	26-9000-01000	\$ -	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
Historic Preservation	26-9100-29900	\$ -	\$ 30.00	<u>\$ 30.00</u>
Misc. Exp.				\$ 60.00

Budget Amendment 7 is to create a historic preservation fund as recommended by the City's Auditors. Council Member Nooe requested that item "e" be pulled for discussion.

A motion was made by Council Member Myott seconded by Council Member Turner to approve Consent Agenda items a,b,c,d,f,g, and h. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Council Member Nooe noted that the request was for a motion to approve the extension of time for removing the trees from the Whitt Farm and Eden did not have the option that was in the name of the Citizens for Economic Development, so he had talked to Mr. Medlin and he said it was more of a resolution or giving a recommendation that that could be done.

Mayor Price asked if they could make that now in the form of a verbal resolution to which Mr. Medlin replied in the affirmative.

A motion was made by Council Member Nooe seconded by Council Member Epps for a resolution and for a list of members of the Citizen's Economic Development, Inc., and to recommend approval. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

Page 569

\*\*\*\*\*

# **VOUCHERS:**

Mayor Price asked about the Monroe Street project and where they were with that.

Mr. Corcoran replied that was a joint project between the city and DOT and Dewberry & Davis has been hired to do the surveying and engineering work.

#### **CLOSED SESSION:**

Closed Session according to GS 143-318.11(a)(1) to prevent the disclosure of information that is privileged or confidential pursuant to the law of this State or of the United States, or not considered a public record within the meaning of Chapter 132 of the General Statutes.

A motion was made by Council Member Epps seconded by Council Member Myott to go into Closed Session according to GS 143-318.11(a)(1) to prevent the disclosure of information that is privileged or confidential pursuant to the law of this State or of the United States, or not considered a public record within the meaning of Chapter 132 of the General Statutes. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

#### **OPEN SESSION:**

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Tuggle to return to Open Session. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

#### ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by Council Member Vestal seconded by Council Member Tuggle to adjourn. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Kim J. Scott City Clerk
ATTEST:	
Philip K. Price Mayor	