The regular meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, was held on Tuesday, June 20, 2000 at 7:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers, 338 West Stadium Drive. Those present for the meeting were as follows:

Mayor: Philip K. Price

Mayor Pro Tem: John E. Grogan
Council Members: Ronald H. Reynolds

Ronald Janney Christine H. Myott William W. Rorrer C.H. Gover, Sr. Garry Tudor

City Manager: Radford L. Thomas
City Attorney: Charles J. Nooe
City Clerk: Kim J. Scott

Administrative Staff: Sheralene Thompson

Representatives from City Departments:

Representatives from News Media: Reid Baer, The Daily

News, Leslie Brown,

Greensboro News & Record

MEETING CONVENED:

Mayor Price called the regular meeting of the Eden City Council to order and welcomed those in attendance. He explained that the Council meets the third Tuesday of each month at 7:30 p.m. and works from a prepared agenda; however, time would be set aside for business not on the printed agenda.

INVOCATION:

Pastor Wayne Johnson, Trinity Wesleyan Church, was present to give the invocation.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

(a) Presentation of budget message and consideration of the proposed 2000-2001 budget.

Mayor Price asked the City Manager to come forward to present the budget message for fiscal year 2000-2001.

Mr. Thomas stated that on behalf of the City of Eden staff, the proposed fiscal year 2000-2001 budget is respectfully submitted for the Council's consideration. The budget, as proposed, is in balance and has been prepared in accordance with the guidelines of the Local Government Budget and Fiscal Control Act (NCGS 159).

He noted that some of the budget highlights included: The total proposed budget as presented to the City Council of \$22,502,900 represents a decrease of \$1,208,425 or 5.0% as compared to the 1999-2000 budget (as amended) of \$23,711,325.

The proposed tax rate for the coming fiscal year is fifty-seven cents per one hundred dollars of valuation. This remains the same as the current fiscal year. Tax revenue projections are based upon a total valuation of \$650,467,900 at an estimated rate of collection of 96.6 percent.

There will be no increase in the solid waste fee. It will remain at the present rate of \$5.00 per month.

The revenue estimates for the Water and Sewer Fund were based upon the need to increase water and sewer rates to all classes of customers. Rates were presently being reviewed by a consultant to determine what the actual rates will need to be to support operational and maintenance requirements, capital outlay, construction needs and debt service. The loss of a major water and sewer customer in the past year has made it necessary to increase rates to all customers to meet present costs of operations and protect the integrity of the water and sewer facilities.

The Self-Insurance Fund required an increase in premiums to move towards the health insurance program becoming fully funded. This was important to the long-term success of the self-insurance program.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

The Water and Sewer Construction Funds will be receiving contributions from the Water and Sewer Fund to continue the ongoing construction projects that will improve their water and sewer systems. \$400,000 was proposed towards each fund respectively. Remaining revenues for the construction funds will come from their respective fund balances.

He continued with the Fund Balance and explained that all fund balances were estimated based upon available information during the preparation of the proposed budget. These estimates may vary to some degree and may be different when compared to final audited figures.

The projected total fund balance in the General Fund for year ending June 30, 2000 is \$3,297,800. This represented an increase of \$298,100 over the previous fiscal year or a little over a 10% increase.

The projected unrestricted fund balance or available undesignated fund balance, in the General Fund at June 30, 2000 is \$2,793,300. This represents an increase of \$559,700 compared to fiscal year end 1999 or 25.05%.

The Water and Sewer Fund retained earnings at year ending June 30, 2000 is \$2,795,600. This was a projected decrease of \$1,547,100 as compared to fiscal year ending 1999 or a 35.63%.

There have been no funds from the General Fund fund balance appropriated to balance the General Fund.

He stated that \$400,000 has been appropriated from the Water and Sewer Fund retained earnings (fund balance) to balance the Water and Sewer Fund and serve as a contribution to the Water Construction and Sewer Construction Funds. \$200,000 was allocated to each construction fund.

All fund balance in the Water Construction and Sewer Construction Funds has been appropriated to meet the construction needs in the coming fiscal year. If all proposed projects are completed, there will be no funds remaining in the either of the construction funds at the end of fiscal year 2000-2001.

He stated that for the Capital Reserve Fund, there were contributions from the General Fund to the Capital Reserve Fund included in this budget to continue the funding of the park project and to support economic development projects.

He stated that for Personnel, the City Council was considering the results of a Pay and Classification Study conducted by the Council of Governments. A final decision has not been made on the implementation of the recommendations. Full implementation of the recommendations will cost approximately \$480,000 not including the associated taxes and benefits. It is the Council's desire to reach agreement on the plan to bring the salaries of the employees in line with the current market conditions and to make allowance for the continued funding of the performance based pay plan.

There have been no new positions funded in the proposed budget.

He stated that for the Interfund Administrative Charges, the financial reporting standards required by the State and other agencies direct that the \$622,700 transferred to the General Fund from Water and Sewer be allocated as an administrative charge to the departments providing services to the Water and Sewer Fund. The charges are allocated to the following departments in the General Fund: Governing Board, Administration, Finance, Legal, Human Resources, and Engineering.

He closed in stating that much time and effort has been expended in the development of the proposed 2000-2001 Fiscal Year budget. He thanked the management team and their departments for the input and information they have provided in the development of this operational plan. Every effort has been made to maintain services at current levels while continuing to do the things that were necessary to improve efficiency and responsiveness to the citizens of Eden and provide services at a reasonable cost. As always, their goal was to develop a budget that addressed the needs of the City while being fiscally responsible to the citizens. He stated that he believed that this has been accomplished.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Mr. Thomas thanked everyone for the contributions they have made to the development of this budget and stated that on behalf of the staff, he thanked the Mayor and City Council for their direction and guidance. He respectfully submitted this document for their favorable consideration.

Mayor Price declared a public hearing and asked if anyone wished to come forward to speak about the proposed budget or to ask questions. As no one came forward to speak, he then declared the public hearing closed.

Council Member Janney referred to the revenue estimates for the Water and Sewer Fund and asked if that statement was correct, "the rates were presently being reviewed by a consultant to determine what the actual rates would be".

Mr. Thomas replied that was what Mr. David Cain, Finkbeiner, Pettis & Strout (FP&S), had been doing.

Council Member Janney continued in that "the actual rates will need to support the operation, maintenance requirements, capital outlay, construction needs and debt service." He asked if that was what he was doing. He explained that he knew Mr. Cain was looking at rates, but they have a lot to be done with the water and sewer, and he just wondered if that was a bit premature.

Mayor Price agreed that perhaps it was, but they understand his content and his question.

Budget Meeting Scheduled:

Mayor Price announced that a budget meeting had been scheduled for Tuesday, June 27th, at 4:00 p.m., at the City Council Chambers.

(b) Consideration of an application for a Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) for the Rhode Island Mill rehabilitation.

Mayor Price called for a public hearing and asked Mrs. Stultz to come forward for a report.

Mrs. Stultz explained that this was the second in a series of two required public hearings on the redevelopment of the Rhode Island Mill into affordable housing. She stated that this particular grant request was one that was being made to deal with the environmental issues that were currently on that site. She explained that Affordable Housing, Inc., was making that application with the permission of the City Council. She stated that a representative from that firm was present to answer any questions that she may be unable to answer.

Mayor Price asked if anyone would like to come forward to speak in favor or in opposition of this proposal. As no one came forward to speak, he then declared the public hearing closed.

A permit and application had been made in the name of the City of Eden for the proposed grant.

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Reynolds to approve the request (a permit and application had been made in the name of the City of Eden for the proposed grant). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

(c) Consideration of approval of a map amendment (2-00-06) continued from the May regular meeting.

Mayor Price called for a public hearing and asked Mrs. Stultz to come forward for a report.

Mrs. Stultz explained that the request was from Residential-12 to Business-General. She stated that at their April 4th 2000 regular meeting, the Planning Board voted unanimously to recommend that the City Council deny this request.

She stated that the request was to rezone a parcel containing 24,017 square feet, located on Aiken Road from Residential-12 to Business-General. The subject parcel currently contains a single-family dwelling. Business-General is the most liberal of the city's business zoning districts and

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

thus allows intense retail and commercial uses. The Business-General district also permits any use that is permitted in the Business-Central zoning district. The setback requirements for Business-General permit structures to be located quite close to the street and to the rear and side lot lines.

The intersection of Aiken Road and Virginia Street is one that has been the sight of several businesses since before original zoning in 1968. However there has been no policy decision made to promote the further encroachment of commercial uses into the surrounding neighborhood. The City's Land Development Plan recommends low density residential uses for the subject property. The residential character of the neighborhood to the east has been stable for more than 30 years. The property is located in the Smith River Water Supply Watershed and therefore in an area where development density is limited.

Therefore, she stated, based upon the foregoing, the staff recommended denial of this request.

Council Member Janney presented a couple of petitions to the Mayor.

Mayor Price read the following petitions: "We do not have an objection for Roena Dehart to have her property at 106 E. Aiken Road rezoned to Business-General." It was signed by five residents of that area.

Council Member Gover asked the reason for denial to which Mrs. Stultz explained that there was an existing house on there. Right next door to the house to the east was another residential structure. There was a business, the Lawn Mower Shop, and then sort of a gully and then this house. She stated that right next to that this was where the residential part of the neighborhood begins. There has been B-G zoning there in that area for a long time and no development pressure to this point to increase it. Based on that and the existing businesses, she thought it should be denied and the Planning Board agreed.

Council Member Tudor commented that because of the ditch, would it be logical that it be a zoning barrier, because that was where residential begins. He asked if that was a normal consideration.

Mrs. Stultz replied that topography could be and in this particular area, that neighborhood and those houses, most were there before any of the businesses were. She stated that she could not see any reason to recommend further encroachment to that. That was a narrow strip of road there and that area from where this house was, all the way up to the Friendly Road intersect, was predominately all residential.

Council Member Gover pointed out that they have B-G beside and in front of it to which Mrs. Stultz agreed.

Council Member Rorrer commented that it had not been all that long where it was changed from residential, where the Lawn Mower Shop was. It was not on the original and he knew that part of it did not.

Mrs. Stultz explained that at this point, she could not see recommending that B-G further encroach on those folks in the neighborhood.

Council Member Rorrer replied that he understood, but she could look at it either way because they had it on either side.

Council Member Grogan, referring to the petition, asked if any of those were property owners or just people in the neighborhood.

Mayor Price read the names and addresses which included Carol Rogers of 110 E. Aiken Road, Donald Rogers of 110 E. Aiken Road, Dwayne Hall, Inez Swinney of 116 E. Aiken Road, and Lynn Scotten, 115 Chatham Lane.

Council Member Rorrer commented that he did not think they have but one rental piece of property in that section.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Mayor Price asked if anyone would like to come forward to speak in favor of this map amendment.

Ms. Roena Dehart, 938 Virginia Street, explained that she owned the property at 106 E. Aiken Road. The residential up above, it was up on a hill from her house. Ms. Carol Rogers was next to her, her and Donnie, and the next neighbor on up the street, and the one out the back of the house adjoining her property, all signed the paper, they do not object for it to be rezoned for business. She explained that it would get her out of a whole lot of trouble if she could get it rezoned so she could sell it.

Council Member Myott asked if she had tried to sell it as residential to which Ms. Dehart replied that it had been on the block since October with Atkinson Real Estate.

Council Member Gover asked if she was renting it at this time to which Ms. Dehart replied that she had a renter in it but when she put it up for sale the renter moved. She stated that she did not have anything coming in there and she really needed it rezoned.

Pastor Wayne Johnson asked that if it was rezoned, could any business locate there, and would there be any restrictions on that.

Mayor Price referred the question to Mrs. Stultz who explained that B-G allowed everything allowed in Business-Central, which was all the uses that were allowed in normal downtowns. She stated that it allowed automobile repair garages, sales of new and used automobiles, and automobile washing establishments. It also allowed bingo establishments, bowling centers, and building material sales and service. It allowed eating and drinking establishments including drive-ins. It included feed stores, garden and lawn shops, green houses, industrial trade schools and industrial supplies and equipment. It allowed motorcycle, lawn mower and power saw sales and service. She added that it also allowed plumbing and heating supplies, and retail tire and service establishments. She explained that it was their most liberal district and it allowed more than 50 uses.

Council Member Janney asked if she still had certain requirements on lot size to which Mrs. Stultz replied that B-G had setback requirements, but they were again quite liberal. She stated that the front yard was 10' without parking. No side yards were required except on corner lots. If they have a side yard it had to be at least 5' and a 10' rear yard. She stated that it did allow for quite extensive lot coverage. She added that fact that it was in the watershed would limit some of that, but it was not quite large enough to cross that brink.

Council Member Gover asked if this was another case where the city was not allowing land use. He questioned if this was another case where they were going to have a lot that was grown up and the lady could not afford to maintain it. He questioned if this was one of those situations where the lady was trying to move it and could not move it and down the road some where the city would have to go in and clean it up. He asked Mrs. Stultz if she had thought about that.

Mrs. Stultz replied, maybe not in those exact terms, but yes.

Council Member Gover pointed out that they have that all over their city.

Mrs. Stultz explained that when she made this recommendation, she took a look at it, and it was never an easy call to decide what to recommend. With the residential area to the east, this property and existing businesses already there, at that point she could not recommend that it be rezoned, (but), as all those decisions were it was up to the Council.

Council Member Gover stated that they certainly did not want to make an eyesore, if that was what it was going to be, if the lady could not afford to do anything with it.

Pastor Johnson commented that they own 22 acres just up the road from it, but of course the decision that they made either way would not affect their property, Trinity Wesleyan Church. He stated that he did know the piece of property was going to be very difficult for Ms. Dehart to move. He explained that he had never met her and did not know her, but he thought it would be extremely difficult to sell as a home. He noted that there was quite a topographical difference between the location of that home. It was down on the same level as the convenience store

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

across the street and the next house was quite higher elevated off of Aiken Road. He stated that it might move a lot quicker as a business than it would a residence. He added that the dilemma he would have, of course as a church, would be what type of business

Mayor Price asked if anyone else would like to come forward to speak in favor or in opposition to this request. As no one else came forward to speak, he then declared the public hearing closed.

A motion was made by Council Member Janney seconded by Council Member Gover for approval. Council Members Janney, Gover, Grogan, Reynolds, Myott and Rorrer voted in favor of this motion. Council Member Tudor voted in opposition. This motion carried.

MONTHLY FINANCIAL REPORT:

(a) Finance Department Monthly Reports.

The memorandum presented to Council contained the Financial Reports for the month of May 2000.

Mayor Price asked Mr. Ray Sharp, Finance Director, to come forward for his report.

Mr. Sharp began with page 2 of 12 and explained that they have a total of \$11,116,663 in cash. This was \$43,258 less than what it was last month at this time. He stated that their Undesignated Fund Balance for the General Fund was up \$24,760, but this dropped their three month reserve, which was down \$240 from last month. They were still above the reserve by \$418,560, the reason being they did a budget amendment at last month's meeting.

He noted that on page 3 of 12 was the computation of the Undesignated Fund Balance for the General Fund. Just about everything reserved had gone up this month and their cash had gone up in the General Fund by \$77,232.

The Undesignated Fund Balance for Water & Sewer was down \$74,572 from where it was last month, but they still had \$3,336,487.70. He added that they must take that with a grain of salt because on June 1st, they paid the bonds and the interest for the month of June, which was \$1,620,000. He stated that as of June 1st, this was basically cut in half and their cash went down that much money too.

Mayor Price commented that prior to this year, that Sinking Fund payment, that fund was coming completely out of a sinking fund.

Mr. Sharp agreed, about \$900,000.

Council Member Janney asked if he was saying that that really left them with \$1,743,000 rather than \$4,000,000 to which Mr. Sharp replied about \$1.6 million. Council Member Janney asked if that was money that could be used for what the Council deemed necessary for the Water & Sewer Fund.

Mr. Sharp replied yes, it was undesignated at this point and time.

Mr. Sharp continued with page 5 of 12, their Revenues and Expenditures. He explained that they have completed eleven months of the year so any percentage should be equal to about 91 2/3 %. He noted that their Revenue on the General Fund, they were above where they should be at this point in time and on a whole the General Fund was at 83.81% of their budgeted revenue.

For the Water & Sewer Fund, they were at 65.61% of their revenue and paid out 59.14% of their expenditures and again the money for the bond payment came out of the Water & Sewer Fund. He stated that at the point that this statement was done, they had a "profit" of \$482,894. As of June 1st, it fell by 1.6 million, which meant that they were backing up \$1.2 million.

Mr. Sharp explained that all of the other looked normal. He pointed out that on a whole, all the funds in the city, they have taken the 69.9% of their revenue and have paid out 64.45% of their expenditures.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

He noted that page 9 of 12 was a cash flow. He noted that they were down \$240,300 from where they were last year in total cash. On page 10 of 12 was the year to date cash flow and page 11 of 12 was a summary of all of their cash, which was \$11,116,663 worth of cash.

He stated that the last sheet was Temporary Investments. He explained that usually, if they looked back to the last three years, May and June were usually their lowest month as far as a balance in the Temporary Investments and the main reason, at the end of May they moved the money out of their investment account and into their checking account so on June 1st they could pay their bond payment.

Council Member Janney stated that they had some situations that they need to take care of and the only way that they were going to do that was to designate some money to put it in there and do it. He stated that they had received some information about some sewage spills and stuff like that and he suggested that they take \$1million in the Water & Sewer Fund and put it in a special fund to deal with the two worst issues they have right now and go ahead and do it and get it done so they did not have other problems down the road. He noted they had some information now...

Mayor Price asked him to tell them more.

Council Member Janney explained that they have problems with Covenant Branch and Meadow Greens, pumping sewage in the river. They had to pay a bill (fine) for doing it and it needed to be addressed.

Mayor Price replied that if he was not mistaken, they were in the engineering phase of Meadow Greens now were they not to which the City Engineer, Mr. Stanley replied that they were.

Mayor Price asked if Covenant Branch was coming along as well to which Mr. Stanley replied that they have not been approved to do any work on that one.

Council Member Gover commented that he had asked at the last meeting if that \$500,000 would cover that construction and nobody had answered him. If it would not cover it then they did need to designate the money because they could not continue to have overflows.

Mayor Price asked Mr. Stanley if he had gotten to the point that he could talk money on it.

Mr. Stanley replied no more than the preliminary estimate that he gave at the meeting.

Council Member Rorrer stated that he agreed with Council Member Janney, but he did not think right now was the time to be putting it there. He stated that they were in this Water & Sewer thing and they needed to see where they were at on the whole thing and do it at that time.

Council Member Janney pointed out that he was going based on the letter that he had and noted that the city got off light.

Council Member Rorrer agreed, and they did need to move as fast as they could, but that should not slow them down by putting the funds there right at this point.

Council Member Gover commented that they needed a report on what they actually face as far as the money was concerned, so they would know what to move, if they needed to move a million, then they should move it.

Council Member Janney added that it might not be one million. He stated that he did not know, but if they put a million in there then they would know they had it.

Mayor Price noted that he raised a valid point, but he thought the Council, as a whole, would like to see them do something, but have something in front of them, with some pretty accurate figures rather than just transferring money.

Council Member Grogan commented that he thought that the money was there. He did not know where it was going to go, (but) he did not think it was going anywhere. The engineer made an estimate on Meadow Green of about half a million dollars. He asked Mr. Stanley what that estimate was.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Mr. Stanley replied that he did not recall but he believed that the money that they have stated should be designated for that should cover the cost of the project.

Council Member Gover pointed out that however, that was for just one project.

Mr. Thomas commented that they needed to keep in mind that the Council could amend the budget at any time during the year and if this money was in a Fund Balance or Retained Earnings and it was sitting there continuing to draw interest, they could amend the budget at any time for a specific project or need that they may have. He stated that as they identify the cost and need to get into expending money for design or whatever it may be, the Council could take the money out of that Fund Balance and appropriate it into the budget anytime.

Council Member Janney replied that he understood that, but they have a bad habit of spending money for something else, other than what they need sometime and that was his concern and he just thought they needed to address it, but if they did not think so then they would drive on and see what happened.

As there were no more questions or comments regarding the Financial Report, Mayor Price continued on to Requests and Petitions of Citizens.

REQUESTS AND PETITIONS OF CITIZENS:

Recognition of City Manager, Mr. Radford Thomas:

Mayor Price stated that he wanted to comment that this was the last meeting of their City Manager, Mr. Radford Thomas. He stated that Mr. Thomas had been with the city for quite a while and had become a friend of the family. He was a gentleman who he had gotten to know really well, as well as other Council people. He has really made an effort in the community and he hoped that he stayed in the community. He stated that Mr. Thomas and his family have been welcome additions. He stated that it was interesting to note the history of his family as his grandfather had probably hired three fourths of the families in this room in some shape, form, or fashion as he was with Fieldcrest Mills for many years.

Mayor Price closed in saying that he wanted to say that on behalf of the Council and the community, he wanted to thank him for his time and they wish him the best of luck.

Mr. Thomas received applause and a standing ovation.

Mrs. Vanessa Stewart addressed Council:

Mrs. Vanessa Stewart, 240 Fairway Street, explained that she was present to address some concerns and make a request to the City Manager, Mayor and City Council. She also wanted to take time to thank the Daily News for keeping the citizens informed on city happenings. She stated that she also wanted to thank the City Manager and she wanted him to know how much she appreciated his professionalism, and she hated to see him leave Eden City Management.

She also wanted to thank Council Member Tudor who had indicated that he would do what he could to have the city provide a buffer for the warehouse being built behind her house and the residents of Fairway Street.

She stated that she realized that she had not been attending Council Meetings and she had responded only when she was affected by City Council decisions, and she was sorry this was so. She stated that in the past two years decisions made by this Council have affected her life in a personal way. She encouraged the Council Members to turn on Marshall Street and stop at the stop sign on Fairway Street and gaze at the 60' frame of the warehouse going up. As they gaze at this sight, she asked that they truly ask themselves if they would have voted to put this building in their neighborhood.

She stated that she knew one of their Council people made a motion in November and again in January to rezone his neighborhood to prevent warehouses and office buildings from being put in neighborhoods.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

She then stated some of the things that she knew which included: The Council voted in one situation to rezone an area restricted residential and then voted to have citizens adjacent to the proposed park rezoned because the Council decided that Eden needed to do something about jobs for all the closing of the plants. She stated that she understood that Rockingham County enjoyed a 4% unemployment rate. She stated that also, the Pluma building had been purchased by the Osborne Company and would reopen by another name. She stated that the city paid Osborne Company money to rewire the facility.

She stated that the Council told her that they had to pay for their water and sewer losses, and low and behold she read in the news that there was a possibility that they have lost up to six million dollars in water and sewer revenues. She stated that she had read that it was the Mayor's fault the City Manager's fault and so on.

She stated that she had recently read in a news article that the Manager had asked for an audit and the Council voted it down. She stated that she knew they made such decisions and stated for example, Council Member Tudor made a motion for the Council to pursue a deed change when there was a question regarding deed restrictions only to have the Council vote it down. Not even a week later, it was her understanding that the Mayor and a Councilperson drove to Kannapolis to request that change. She added that she knew that the Mayor told many of the residents of Fairway that he was in favor of the park, and did not feel the land should be broken up. She stated most of the people on Fairway did not care whether there was a park or not, but none of them wanted a warehouse in their backyards. She stated that she knew that there would be a warehouse, but not a 60' warehouse that she had been watching go up.

Mrs. Stewart stated that when she heard about the closed door sessions, she was not surprised because there were sessions closed during the efforts to get Gildan in Eden. She stated that she was told Osborne Company was allowed to attend some of those sessions and she felt that the Council and the Mayor had totally discounted the wishes of the Fairway residents.

She then proceeded to ask a series of questions: Who did the industrial park belong to, today. Did it belong to Gildan, Eden or who, and she added she was not talking about a year from now. She asked when were the plans drawn up for the industrial park and what were the dates. She asked who determined the footage for the buffers for the Fairway residents. She asked why there was a huge section of the central (area) zoned in Enterprise and not one of the Councilpersons in an Enterprise zone. She asked if it was true that the city decided which areas to include for this Enterprise zone. She asked what the transactions were between Pillowtex and the city and what happened to the \$100,000 given to the city, as a donation to the Park. She asked if the industrial park becomes an issue for noise or pollution, who would be the responsible person to contact. She stated that they have had dynamiting going on in this area and their houses have literally shook, which was reported to the city. She asked what happened to the traffic study that the Fairway residents requested before the building started. She noted that knowing that the Council reviewed the budget every year, why would they not know about revenues coming in, since this is how the budget was prepared. Would it be a conflict of interest to have a Councilperson have a job that had the function of obtaining new business and be on the committee that solicits for economic development for their city and both to have a controversial land rezoned. Was there a petition by any citizen to have the land rezoned to industrial. Did the Council vote the request from the residents or did they vote what they wanted to see happen. If there was not a petition requesting that the land be rezoned industrial, how much consideration did the Council take, knowing that a petition with approximately 1200 signatures on it, not wanting the land split and zoned to residential, including another petition presented by residents of Fairway Street who made the same request. She asked what plans had been made to protect the Fairway residents from the intrusion of the warehouse. What recourse did the citizens of the city have when they were concerned with the decisions made by their Council. She stated in other words, where were the checks and balances. She stated that in lieu of this controversy, she was tired of she said, he said, and reading this and that in the paper.

Council Member Myott commented that she wanted to make a correction by stating that her area was in an enterprise zone.

Mrs. Stewart pointed out that she did not have a 60' warehouse behind her house. She stated that she would really like everyone to go to Fairway Street and look at that huge 60' building. She

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

referred to the earlier petition and stated that she was glad to see that they voted for what that person wanted. She stated that no one on Fairway Street, Meadow Road, or in that area that wanted that business there, at least not that close. She asked them again to please come and look, as they needed to see what had happened in their area. She stated that they dynamite and bulldoze Monday through Sunday. She stated that it was unreal.

Mayor Price explained that he obviously could not respond to all of her questions that night, but she would get an answer to the majority of them. He stated that he could tell her on one item that the city did have an annual audit of its books and reports were made to the City Council and that was available to anyone who would like to see it.

Mrs. Stewart questioned that if it was audited, why did the money not show up and no one knew there was difficulty with that.

Mayor Price replied that it was a question that they had really not gotten to the bottom of yet.

Mrs. Stewart stated that to no offense, she really thought that for this community to come together there needed to be accountability and a closure to all of this. She stated that it could not be what this City Council said or what Vanessa Stewart said, because it affected her personally, but someone needed to come in and take a look and either say they were all dead wrong, they did not communicate well, they should have communicated to the people on Fairway Street, or whatever and it not be anything unethical, a-moral or whatever else, but she really did feel that to heal the wounds, that there needed to be reports put in the paper and then close the door. She stated that it would take, not them (Council) saying those things, but someone (else) looking at every piece of it, it would not heal that wound.

She stated that if they would look at all the (news) papers, there were comments from a good portion of them (Council), already. So, to have them answer those questions, it would just behoove the city to get an independent person to do that, and it might set a whole lot of things straight.

Mayor Price asked Mrs. Stewart for a copy of her questions.

Mr. Roger Brown addressed Council:

Mr. Roger Brown, 211 Gracie Street, explained that he had not planned on making comments, but since he was afforded the opportunity he guessed he had better say something. He stated that back in March or April, he went to see several members of the board and talked to them about an issue they had in their area about sewer. He stated that they got together and got up a petition, had it signed and brought it to the City Manager. He explained that it was a request from the citizens in that area. He stated that they have not heard anything from it since then. No one had told them anything and they did not know what had happened. They approached the City Manager about that and thought it was going to be on the agenda in May but it was not. He stated that he was told that it would be discussed at a closed session meeting. He stated that they had not heard a thing about it yet and no one had thought enough about them to reply or give answers as to why this request had been denied or confirmed or what.

He stated that since this opportunity had arrived, he thought he should say something since the City Manager was leaving, and he and his sister had given the request to him. He explained that the request was, a few years ago the residents in that area of Charlie and Gracie Streets received sewer. Sometime at the end of last year or the first of this year, the Council or somebody, decided that those who had not paid for their sewer assessment did not have to pay it any more. He stated that however, there were a few who were able to pay it off as quick as they could. He stated that his neighbor had gone to City Hall to pay on his and was told that he did not have to pay it. He stated that he had gone to City Hall to see what was going on as his neighbor had told him this and several other neighbors began to complain. He stated that he had paid his off, but it was so that no one else had to pay it off if they had not completed paying it.

Mr. Brown stated that the problem that he had was that no one from this Council or the City Manager's office had seen fit to notify them about the problem. There was a petition, a request, and they were supposed to receive an answer. He stated that people were calling him, and he had even approached Council Member Gover and the Mayor about it. He stated that he had ended up

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

with the City Manager and now, he did not know where to go as the City Manager was leaving and they still had not received an answer. He stated that he did not know where they could go to get an answer.

Mayor Price apologized for the time it had taken to respond. He stated that to be candid, the problem had to be defined further and it had to be resolved, and unfortunately this problem was one of a number of things that would have to work through several types of systems. He stated that it was very unfortunate that he had not received an answer and he apologized to him on behalf of the city. He also added that it was in the city's system and it was working through the channels, but he could not promise him a quick resolution. He stated that he did honestly feel like that perhaps by the middle of summer some concrete discussion would come about and there would be some resolution and some comment to him. He stated that he wished it could be sooner, but realistically it would be at least six more weeks.

Mr. Brown thanked him and he hated to come to City Hall with that, but if they had been notified in some form, letter or statement, etc., it would probably have been resolved. He stated no one thought it was important enough for them to be notified and that was the problem that he had.

Mayor Price apologized again and stated that it was important. He stated that it was an issue that was not being taken lightly, it was important and they would go forward. He asked Mr. Brown to bear with them and to let his neighbors know that if they have questions to feel free to call.

Mr. Brown stated that they did need an answer from somebody and he did think that someone should have contacted them.

Mayor Price agreed and Council Member Gover commented that on his behalf, since he had also asked him, he thought Mr. Brown was notified.

Council Member Tudor suggested that they thank Mr. Brown for running interference, he was taking care of some business that may be they should have done a little bit better job with. He stated that he, as a Council Member, was sorry and appreciated his leadership on behalf of the citizens of this city.

Mrs. Betty Hubbard addressed Council:

Mrs. Betty Hubbard, 210 S. Van Buren Road, explained that like Mr. Brown, she had not planned to speak, but just listening to the problem that they have, and then the response by the Mayor and one Council Member, it just occurred to her that they come down there time and time again, and they get nice stroking words that just sound so good, it makes them feel so good, and then they go back home and nothing happens.

She stated that she was sorry to see Mr. Thomas leave and personally, she liked him. She stated that he had been more honest with her about a personal problem that she and her husband have that occurred in 1988, and it was not often that they get someone as honest as he is. She stated that he has told her in one simple word what her problem was and how it would continue. She stated that she really appreciated that.

She stated that it said something however, when they have to have, time after time, a new City Manager. She asked what was wrong with Eden. Was it their leadership and did they not have a Jones Norman or a Mr. Harris or a Jim Pittman or someone who could be the strong leader that when people come and stand up there and ask for a reasonable honest answer that they never get it. She stated that they were told, "well you can come back next month". She stated that she thought there was something wrong as a whole and she thought that sometimes when someone wanted to speak they are afraid to because of what the Council or general public would think of them, and that was unfortunate.

She stated that she had lived there since 1950 and she had a lot of friends, more than she thought when she ran for City Council. She stated that there was something deep down wrong. They did not know how much money they have. They could not put a budget together. She questioned the hours that have been spent with people sitting there and they still did not have a budget. She questioned that they did not know where millions of dollars were. It was put from this area into another area. They had \$100,000 that was given to the city by the new industry that came in.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

She asked were that \$100,000 went. She asked if it went back to that company for them to move the dirt for the dirt to be put on the park.

Mrs. Hubbard explained that those were the things that they never know. She stated that sometimes when they try to go to the paper and ask them for help, and they seem very interested, and then it was stopped, just like that. She stated that she knew of two instances where it has been stopped. She stated that the general public really had no voice. If they get up there and have a voice they never get an answer. She stated that they just did not know how to get to the bottom of things. They go over and over and over and never come up with solutions. She stated that they needed to stop and think where their city has been, but was not going. She stated that they were just not making the progress. They were not working together and they were divided.

She stated that some of them in the central area get exactly what they were looking for while others feel as though they have no representation and have not had it for years. She stated that she had been told that she had been too assertive. She stated that she had been told that she had created the problems, but she did not believe Mr. Brown created his problem, and he did not get any answers. She stated that somebody needed to stand up and be responsible. There were just too many problems that never get answered. She stated that she had seven (7) papers right now, where they have had closed meetings that an item had been discussed that there was no reason in the world that it could not have been discussed out on the floor. She offered to give them to the Eden News if they wanted them. She stated that it was ridiculous when they have to talk about water and sewer behind closed doors. She stated that they (citizens) paid the taxes, so why could they not have the benefits.

She referred to Mrs. Stewart and stated that she was not for the whole park but she certainly was not for the building to be as close to a residential area as it was put. She stated that they just go and do those things and then there was no way to undo it. She stated that she resented that the Council always had to go back to a legal rendition of everything. She stated that if they were smart enough to run and be on the Council then they were smart enough to be well informed and not have to hide behind their attorney all the time.

Mrs. Alice McBride addressed Council:

Mrs. Alice McBride, 244 Fairway Street, explained that she wanted to start by asking Mrs. Stultz a question. She asked if she remembered that when they started with that industry that she had said that an industry was not going to be near as bothersome as a baseball field with those big lights. She asked if she remembered that to which Mrs. Stultz replied that she did as that was her opinion. Mrs. McBride then proceeded to invite her over on Sunday afternoon and then tell her which would be more bothersome, that thing there now, or lights from a baseball field.

Mrs. McBride asked the Council what tonight's closed session was about. She asked them to say it so that she could understand it. She asked if it was just a personnel matter and the only other matter would be...

Mayor Price replied that there were some legal matters that the attorney needed to talk to the Council about.

She noted that in the bylaws it was either personnel matters or economic development.

Mayor Price replied that she would have to address that with the City Attorney to which Mrs. McBride stated that he would not let her ask him a question as she had tried that before.

Mr. Nooe commented that he would be glad to answer Mrs. McBride if the Council instructed him to do so, but as he well knew, he did not take questions from the general public about city business.

Mrs. McBride stated that if it was not personnel and the legal matter was economic then they must be booming or either they were dead broke. She stated that it was her understanding that it had to be personnel or of course economic. She stated that she did know that there was too much discussion going on behind closed doors and the people of this community had a right to know what was going on. She stated that everybody was accountable for what they did and politicians were not excluded from that category.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

She stated that she was sorry that Mr. Thomas was leaving the city's government. She stated that she had gone to his office on one occasion and he was very supportive and very patient with her, as the Mayor had been. She stated that she did believe that he had the city's interest at heart and if he did not, then it took the City Council a long time to decide that he did not and they were not paying attention. She stated that she also felt that not a member of the city government or the human race had a right to point a finger or throw a stone at any body else. She stated that everybody made mistakes and that they should stand up and say that they did. She stated that if he did make a mistake she did not think he was the only one. She stated that she just wanted to say that if it was only as easy to dismiss members of the City Council as it was their City Manager, then some things would be done differently.

Ms. Margaret Blackstock addressed Council:

Ms. Margaret Blackstock, 126 S. Hairston Street, explained that she also had not planned on making a comment, but she had paid attention to the city workers and how they have lost a lot of policemen over the last few months. She stated that she wondered if they ever thought about having a meeting with just the city workers with the different departments, to find out what their gripes were. She stated that she had read the Neely report and the wages were so low. She referred to an advertisement in Guilford County where they were asking for policemen and they were saying that the wages for a rookie would start out at \$27,000 to \$28,000. She stated that she had asked how much a first year policeman in Eden would make and was told that they would start at \$18,000. She stated that it just blew her mind. They just did not have people who were willing or were able to live on just \$18,000 a year. She stated that she was a retired teacher and she had worked for 30 years in order to get a decent wage when she did retire. She stated that she may be out of place for saying it, but she did think that they needed to get together with the workers and find out what their gripes are.

She stated that she went to a meeting in Draper, and she did not think any of the Council had made the comment, but someone at that meeting had made the comment that if they did not like it they could leave. She stated that she was one of those people who liked living in a small town. She did not want to leave Eden and she would not have appreciated it if someone had made that comment to her or about her choice to teach in the City of Eden. She stated that it was not appropriate and that person had hurt a lot of people's feelings. She stated that it was someone in the audience who had made that statement, and maybe he had enough money that he could transport himself to Greensboro or whatever, or maybe he had the mental capability or facility to make a lot of money, but there were some people who did not have the mental abilities to make a whole lot of money. She stated that the Council did have the responsibility of giving them a decent wage.

Mayor Price stated that the city had gone through a very exhaustive study of pay ranges and they have compared what the city was now offering to other communities. He stated that the Council was aware of the problem and they were in the midst of making a decision on what type of plan they were going to implement. It was not an easy plan to decide on because they were spending the citizen's money. He stated that they wanted to do the best for their employees and there were certain departments that had high turnover, which had to be resolved. He stated that it had to be done right and everyone had to understand what had been presented and what was going to be implemented. He added that the project had taken longer than he thought and he thought the Council had come to this issue and spent a lot of time on it. He stated that her point was very well taken and he hoped they resolved it.

Mr. Roger Brown addressed Council again:

Mr. Brown stated that he had one more statement. He stated that a few weeks ago on Stadium Drive, just in front of New Saint Paul Baptist Church, there was a water leak. It was reported on Friday afternoon. Water ran from Friday afternoon to Monday afternoon. He stated that he listened to the reports being made by the City Manager about the increase that needed to be made for sewer in the budget. He stated that the water ran for the whole weekend and no one from the city that he knew of came down from the city to check on that leak. He asked if it was normal when a pipe burst, that they let it run that long.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Mayor Price replied that it was a policy in an operational since, of the Water & Sewer Department, that someone was on-call and someone did look at the severity of the leak and quite frankly, a lot of times the cost of the leak versus the cost of overtime pay goes into a decision making mode. He stated that he could think of a number of times when they decided that it would just cost too much to repair it and they would wait until regular working hours. He stated that there were times when there were severe leaks that caused water shortages and that was taken care of promptly. He stated that those people on-call would come out and the leak would be taken care of.

Mr. Brown explained that the reason he asked the question was because there was a lot of water running out there.

Mayor Price stated that recently there was a problem and someone went out and looked at it and they decided to let it go until normal working hours on Monday. He stated that it got much worse in the next two or three hours and of course it was the middle of the night and they had to call crews out. They took care of it but that did happen sometimes. He explained that it was one of those objective things that the Manager looks at and then tried to make a decision. He stated that sometimes they were wrong, but often times they were right.

Mr. Brown stated that it was around 6:00 p.m., on a Friday when it was reported and water was running in the middle of the road, on both sides of the street and by Saturday afternoon water had piled up there, all down in the church yard and everything. He stated that he just wondered what the policy was.

Mayor Price stated that was the policy, but he wanted to tell him that it was not ironclad...to which Mr. Brown stated that it was a lot of waste. Mayor Price stated that if he recognized a problem that obviously was more than a normal or reasonable thing, that he please feel free to call the Police Department on weekends.

Mr. Brown stated that from what he understood, several people called.

Mayor Price added that someone was on duty, 24 hours a day.

Mr. Brown closed in stating that he had just noticed, since they were talking about increasing the budget for water.

Ms. Gail Baucom addressed Council:

Ms. Gail Baucom, 536 Fieldcrest Road, explained that she, like some of the others, did not intend to speak tonight. She stated that in replying to one of the ladies that was talking about salaries, she did not realize that she, as a citizen, had access to every city worker's pay and she saw it for herself two weeks ago. She stated that she was amazed at how low some of the workers were and how she would love to be making what some of them were. She stated that she would like for all the citizens to know, and even the newspaper to put it, that an average "joe" can walk into City Hall and ask to see what everybody makes in the City of Eden.

10-MINUTE BREAK:

Mayor Price called for a short break before continuing on with Unfinished Business.

Non-contract study of water and sewer rates within the city:

Council Member Grogan noted that it had been agreed that this item be added to the agenda for a motion.

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Gover to contract with Finkbeiner, Pettis, and Strout, (FP&S), to do a study of non-contract water and sewer rates in the city. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

Mr. Nooe pointed out that this was not a duplication. He explained that it mentioned heretofor, even in the budget message, that a water and sewer rate study in the process of being made, had an inadvertent mistake in it in that the City Council had not, until tonight approved a general

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

study of water and sewer rates. The consultant was only employed to assist the attorney with respect to the rate adjustments in the contract in order to advise the Council on those.

Mayor Price also added that this item was voted on yesterday afternoon (meeting of June 19th) to be added to the agenda.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS:

(a) Consideration of a fee schedule for the Planning & Inspections Department.

The memorandum explained that as a part of the Department's 2000/2001 budget request, the Planning & Inspection Department included recommended changes to the Fee Schedule and the City's policy as to the controlled burning and debris removal of structures within the corporate limits.

Council Member Janney stated that he thought the purpose of what they were trying to do with this controlled burning and removing was twofold. He explained that one was as stated, for fire training, but the other one did serve a big purpose in cleaning up some of the old dilapidated houses that they have that may not meet the requirements of being in such a deteriorated condition that the Planning & Code Enforcement would determine. He stated that he was concerned about it and the fees and he thought the benefit to the city outweighed the cost.

Mrs. Stultz explained that certainly a number of years ago when the Council decided to do this her department absolutely supported it because it was a great way to get the city's interest cared for and do it expediently. She explained that one issue that had come up was that they have had some folks come in and pay \$100.00 and have buildings burned and then the city was responsible for removing the debris when there was no reason for that building to come down. She stated that it was a perfectly good building other than the simple convenience for some business owner or property owner and then it was quite expensive to have the debris removed after that happened. She stated that they have had some discussions at a staff level about where the budgetary funds could come from to pay for the actual cost of all of that removal, especially in light of the fact that when it was a building that was not deteriorated or dilapidated, it was just that somebody wanted it torn down to build something new. She stated that if the Council wanted that done then they could talk to Mr. Sexton about that and figure out ways to pay for it.

Mr. Nooe commented that they were going a little too fast. He did not believe the city had any appropriate business helping people burn down structures unless they meet the standards that she had put in her burn policy.

Mrs. Stultz agreed that was certainly what she supported, but again she was not going to interfere with what the Council chose to do.

Mr. Nooe explained that it needed to be connected to public health and safety to expend public funds to improve private property. If the building was not unsafe or hazardous to the public then the city should not spend public funds to clean up and help remove the building for the convenience of the owners.

Council Member Rorrer asked that once they burn it down and had a mess there then it would fall into that category would it not.

Mr. Nooe replied that it could, but it certainly should not fall in it under the city's burn policy and the city set it on fire.

Council Member Rorrer stated that he had a problem with part of what they were doing, but they were making progress with part of it. He pointed out that he could not burn a doghouse and clean up behind it for \$100.

Mrs. Stultz stated that the Council decided to do that sort of burning as a public service.

Council Member Rorrer replied that they have burned some good stuff and done that too and he thought they needed to stop it.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Mrs. Stultz stated that she did not want to speak for the Fire Chief, but when they were talking about it he still wanted the opportunity to have those for training. The cost comes in with removal and asbestos tests. She stated that when the building was burned and the debris was there, she thought the Fire Chief was still willing to do that, but not necessarily have the city absorb the cost for removal.

Council Member Rorrer commented that he did not exactly find that part addressed in there.

Mrs. Stultz read the following: "If the building or structure was not in a deteriorated condition as determined by the Planning & Inspections Department then the Fire Department may execute a controlled burn of the subject property. The Fire Chief shall determine the training value of the burn involved and the request and shall determine if it will benefit the department. If no training benefit can be determined then the city shall decline the request. If the building is burned without the determination by the Planning & Inspections Department over deteriorating condition, then the removal of the debris shall be the responsibility of the property owner and shall be done at his or her expense."

Council Member Gover asked what the difference was, with this than what they already had.

Mrs. Stultz explained that currently there were a lot of instances where the inspection staff had instituted some sort of enforcement action, the owners come in and fill out the paperwork, the Fire Department burns it, the city then cleans it up, and the problem was solved for the community. The Council decided that it was a good use of city staff time and it was a public service that they did that. She explained that what has happened was that they have had a few instances where someone came in and at least one was a really large commercial building, which was burned, and then city staff had to go in and clean it all up for \$100.

Council Member Gover noted that the last paragraph that she read would eliminate that would it not to which Mrs. Stultz replied that then the city could still burn it for them if the Fire Department felt it was appropriate, but they would be responsible for the cost of the clean up.

Mayor Price added that it was the decision of the Fire Department whether it was good for training and if it was not it was the citizen's complete responsibility.

Mrs. Stultz stated that was what they (staff) decided to recommend in this policy.

Mr. Thomas added that the way he understood it was that if someone went through that procedure and the property owner did not clean up the debris, then the city would go through its normal nuisance abatement process to get it removed and they (property owner) would still be responsible for the cost.

Council Member Rorrer stated that it got to be a problem when it was put into effect as they were burning houses and no one was cleaning them up. He asked what kind of teeth they needed to take care of that.

Mrs. Stultz replied that currently the nuisance ordinance that was in place should deal with that. She stated that the Council had asked her to bring some possible changes to that next month.

Mr. Nooe agreed, but this had to do if they were burning at the owner's request. He stated that they get the owners of the property to sign the request and agree to pay the money and if they did not, they get a judgement and a lien on the property. He stated that they had to get the owners to sign and they have had occasions where they failed to get all the owners to sign.

Council Member Gover asked how many buildings in the past have they been rookered on, like a commercial building.

Mayor Price replied, just about every one of them and Council Member Grogan stated that he could think of one.

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Rorrer to approve the Controlled Burn Policy (Part B). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

RESOLUTION ESTABLISHING THE CONTROLLED BURN AND DEBRIS REMOVAL POLICY FOR THE CITY OF EDEN

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Eden, North Carolina, that the following be and the same hereby adopted as the Controlled Burn and Debris Removal Policy for the City of Eden and shall be deemed effective the 20^{th} day of June, 2000.

The City of Eden Fire Department shall be permitted upon the permission of the property owner or by and through a property order of an officer of the courts of the State of North Carolina to execute a controlled burn of structures within the City of Eden. The free for this service shall be \$100.00 plus the cost of taking asbestos samples required by the State of North Carolina before the structure can be burned.

If the Planning and Inspections Department shall determine that the said structure is of a hazardous or dilapidated condition and its removal is in the public interest then the City of Eden Public Works Department shall remove the debris

If the building or structure was not in a deteriorated condition as determined by the Planning and Inspections Department, then the Fire Department may execute a controlled burn of the subject property. The Fire Chief shall determine the training value of the burn involved in the request and shall determine if it will benefit the department. If no training benefit can be determined then the City shall decline the request. If the building is burned without the determination by the Planning and Inspections Department of a deteriorated condition, then the removal of the debris shall be the responsibility of the property owner and shall be done at his or her expense.

APPROVED AND ADOPTED this the 20th day of June, 2000.

THE THOU TED THE OF TED this the 20 day of 3a	ne, 2000.
	City of Eden
	By: Philip K. Price Philip K. Price, Mayor
Attest:	
Kim J. Scott	
Kim J. Scott, CMC	
City Clerk	

Mayor Price explained that they jumped the gun a little bit, they were on (A) and this had to do with the fee schedule. He noted the fees that were attached to the agenda item.

Council Member Gover questioned the jump from \$35 to \$135 for a manufactured home.

Mrs. Stultz replied that it was still less than most jurisdictions in this county. She stated that they make a number of trips. She explained that it was quite similar to doing a single-family structure. She stated that lots of times they go out and it is a lot of work to be done. Currently, they were not recouping that cost. She explained that the way the building code was set up and the fact that the city did building inspections the program was supposed to come close to paying for itself, because at that point it was a service that the individual property owner was getting from the city. She stated that they thought that it was prudent to bring that more in line to what others were charging and with what they could estimate the cost to be.

Council Member Gover stated that they keep following everybody around them and the city did not have the tax base everybody around it had. He stated that they needed to think what was really necessary for them, not because someone else was doing it.

Mrs. Stultz explained that they made those recommendations for the fee increases simply to move their building inspections program more closely to funding itself. She added that if the Council did not think that was the direction for them to go, they could deal with that otherwise.

Mayor Price asked how many trips they had to make for manufactured homes to which she replied sometimes at least four.

Council Member Janney commented that she could not possibly go as many times to a manufactured home as she did a stick built house.

Mrs. Stultz replied no, not as many times, but much more than one trip. She stated that they went a number of times. She added that it was entirely up to the Council. She continued in that

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

the other things they have recommended was a \$2.00 increase in the minimum fee which reflects the increased cost they have for moving the truck around the community and those kinds of things.

Council Member Gover asked what their actual cost would be on manufactured homes.

Mrs. Stultz replied that she thought it was a bit more than this and they could try to come back and give an actual cost for the number of times it takes to go out there and the amount of staff time and office time. She offered to try to come up with a more exact number.

Mayor Price stated that she watched the people and he asked if she had a rough idea that most of them were going to be in the extraterritorial area.

Mrs. Stultz replied a lot of them were but not all of them. She explained that when they issued a permit for a stick built structure there was a formula that they used to determine that, which was based on the value and the square footage. She stated that if they looked at the value of a lot of the mobile homes that they put in place, especially the double wides and the larger ones, it was very comparable with the single family residences that were going up in parts of their community. She stated that they felt that this cost was too low but if the Council did not, they could try to get some more information or certainly they would...

Council Member Rorrer asked how that would be run with a stick built house, roughly.

Mrs. Stultz replied about a hundred dollars more than that. She used as an example, a \$50,000 house would be in the two hundred and some odd dollar range. Then they would have mechanical permits and plumbing and electrical and all those things. For the manufactured home they issue the manufactured home permit, an electrical permit and a plumbing permit. The permit for the house in some respects covered more of the trade work than it did because the plumbing permit just covered the water and sewer line. The other plumbing the inspectors look at as a part of the permit for the mobile home. She stated that a water and sewer permit was about \$40 and a plumbing permit for an entire house was considerably more. They were also responsible for making sure that all those connections were in place when they go, so it was not as simple as walking out and taking a look at it and coming back. She stated that they also did all the zoning inspections that go along with the stick built houses as far as setbacks and those kinds of things plus there was footage foundation and all those kind of things so that was much more than one trip out.

Council Member Gover asked if this \$100 was figured in on her budget for next year on the revenue.

Mrs. Stultz replied yes, they estimated that the increases in those fees would bring in about \$6,000 additional dollars in revenue.

Council Member Janney stated that it was a bad, bad time to go up on everything that was in the city and they have been faced with changing rates on everything they have. He stated that he thought sure that they would not go up on those.

Mayor Price stated that the cost of doing business was going up and they hoped that department heads, when they put the figures in front of the Council, were fair and reasonable and understanding that this was a service trying to recover costs as close as possible and rates were in line with the market rate.

Mrs. Stultz added that they have not had a permit in electrical for residential swimming pools and those things were something that they did pretty often. She stated that if they did not decide to raise the other fees she wished they would do that one. She stated that they realized that there was a glitch in the way their fee schedule was and it could cost more to add a few fixtures than to wire an entire house and so they tried to correct that in this fee schedule. She stated that other than that, the only thing that was changed in electrical would be the minimum fee. She stated that if they did not want to raise the minimum fees, she would then ask them to let them straighten that out and add the residential swimming pools.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Mayor Price asked Council Member Gover if he would like to make a recommendation on the residential houses.

Council Member Gover replied that he would like to see them correct it and come back to the Council with it. He stated that he was not trying to stop it, he was just not satisfied because somebody else was doing it.

Mrs. Stultz explained that was not the reason they did, she was just sharing with the Council that it was in line with others.

Council Member Gover replied that they have heard just because somebody was doing it on the salaries so they did not need to be hearing it from this too.

Mayor Price asked if it was the feeling of Council that they would like for her to come back with this to which Council Member Gover indicated that he did.

Council Member Rorrer asked how much trouble it would be to put the current fees on paper for the Council and Council Member Janney suggested putting the figures side by side to which Mrs. Stultz agreed to do so and the item would be continued at the June 27th meeting.

(b) Consideration of a controlled burn policy for the City of Eden.

This item was approved in the above discussions.

(c) Consideration of a Resolution of Intent to close an unopened street adjacent to Hamilton Street.

This item was continued to the July regular meeting.

(d) Consideration of Resolution of Intent to close an unopened street adjacent to Short Union Street.

A motion was made by Council Member Rorrer seconded by Council Member Grogan to approve this Resolution of Intent and call for a public hearing for the July regular meeting. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

RESOLUTION OF INTENT TO CLOSE AN UNOPENED STREET ADJCENT TO SHORT UNION STREET

BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Eden, North Carolina, that

Pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 13, Article III, Division 3 and Section 13-88 of the Eden City Code and Section 160A-299 of the North Carolina General Statutes, the City Council of the City of Eden, North Carolina, does hereby declare its intention to close the following described unopened street.

Tract 1

BEGINNING at a point being the east right of way line of Short Union Street said point being the northeast intersection of Short Union Street and Sixth Street said point also being the southwest corner of the Shumate property; thence S 86 deg. 30 min. E 173.5 feet to a stake; thence S 40 feet to a point being the northeast corner of the Hutchins property; thence along and with the north property line of said Hutchens N 86 deg. 30 min. W 173.5 feet to a point in the east right of way line of Short Union Street same being the northwest corner of said Hutchens property; thence N 40 feet to the point and place of beginning.

Tract 2

BEGINNING at an iron pipe found in the west right of way line of Short Union Street said iron marking the intersection of the west right of way of Short Union Street and Sixth Street; and running thence from said point of beginning along the north right of way of Sixth Street N 86 deg. 30 min W 174.30 feet to an iron pipe; thence S 40 feet to a point in the northern property line of Stewart; thence along and with said property S 86 deg. 30 min. E to a point in the west right of way line of Short Union Street; then along and with said right of way line 40 feet to the point and place of beginning.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Council does hereby call for a public hearing on the said closing to be held on the 18th day of July 2000, 7:30 p.m.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution will be published once a week for four successive weeks prior to the said hearing, a copy of said Resolution be sent registered or certified mail to all owners of property adjoining said street as shown on the Rockingham County Tax Records, and prominently posted in at least two (2) places along said street.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Planning Board is hereby requested to submit its recommendation on the proposed closing of said street for consideration of the City Council at the time of said public hearing.

APPROVED, ADOPTED AND EFFECTIVE, this the $20^{\rm th}$ day of June, 2000.

CITY OF EDEN

By: s/Philip K. Price
Philip K. Price, Mayor

Attest:

s/Kim J. Scott
Kim J. Scott, CMC
City Clerk

(e) (1) Consideration of policy documents for the Rivercrest Drive project.

The memorandum explained that the following policies were requirements for either or both of the HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative and the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program. It was necessary that those documents be approved and adopted by the City Council to ensure compliance with those programs and to ensure timely implementation of this project. Documents are due to the granting agencies (NC Division of Community Assistance and NC Division of Emergency Management) by June 22, 2000.

The necessary policies: Antidisplacement and Relocation Assistance Plan, Procurement Policy, Community Development Code of Conduct, Citizen Participation Plan, Grant Project Ordinance, Designation of Just Compensation Officer, Resolution for Signatory Form and Check Signatures, Fair Housing Plan and Resolution, Complaint Procedure, Equal Employment and Procurement Plan, and Section 3 Business Opportunities Plan.

Mayor Price explained that the above items would need to be voted separately.

Antidisplacement and Relocation Assistance Plan:

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Myott for approval.

Council Member Janney questioned the attachment in that it had a statement in (c), "Section 104(k) of the Act requires that reasonable relocation assistance be provided to persons (families, individuals, businesses, nonprofit organizations, or farms) displaced (i.e., moved permanently and involuntarily)". He stated that he did not think they were going to move anyone involuntarily.

Mr. Nooe stated that this had a lot of provisions in it that did not apply to the city's program and there was no way that he could sort through there and pick out what should come out of it and get there in less than a couple of months. He stated that they needed to go ahead with it and what did not apply would be of no affect.

Council Member Janney replied that he wished they had sent him what applied and what did not apply.

Mr. Nooe offered to give it to him as he had received it that morning.

Council Member Janney asked if he had read all of it.

Mr. Nooe replied that he had read enough of it, he had been through it and compared it to the contract and compared it to what Benchmark's responsibilities were. He stated that the responsibilities on planning and zoning, there was no way to do the project without just going ahead with the documents that they have, and if it was not administered according to the contract and the grant was explained to the city, then the City Council would hold Benchmark accountable.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Council Member Janney replied that the reason he had said that was because he had sat and read all that he had.

Mr. Nooe explained that he was just saying that if he had the chance to spend a couple of hours looking at the regulations and so forth, there was just a lot in there that did not apply to what the City of Eden was supposed to be doing on this project.

Council Member Janney stated that his point was well made.

Action on the motion was as follows: All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Procurement Policy:

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Gover to adopt the Procurement Policy. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Community Development Code of Conduct:

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Reynolds to adopt the Community Development Code of Conduct. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Citizen Participation Plan:

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Grogan to adopt the Citizen Participation Plan. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Grant Project Ordinance:

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Reynolds to adopt the Grant Project Ordinance.

Council Member Janney asked who was overseeing all of this money. He asked if it was Benchmark or the city as the way he read it the city was responsible.

Mr. Nooe explained that Benchmark was doing it on behalf of the city and in coordination with the Planning & Inspections Department. There contract contains assurances and protection for the city that it would be properly managed or Benchmark would be liable.

Action on the motion was as follows: All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

<u>Designation of Just Compensation Officer:</u>

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Myott to designate a Just Compensation Officer. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

The City of Eden Resolution Designating Just Compensation Officer

A Resolution designating a Just Compensation Officer for the City of Eden, North Carolina, Disaster Recovery Initiative and Hazard Mitigation Grant Programs.

Be it Resolved by the City Council of the City of Eden, North Carolina, That;

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

WHEREAS, the City of Eden is participating in the HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative and the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program to eliminate or significantly reduce the effects of future flooding along Rivercrest Drive; and

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Eden, North Carolina, hereby designates Kelly Stultz, to serve as Just Compensation Officer for the City of Eden, with the authority to certify just compensation and to execute any and all contracts pertaining to private property acquisition, within the limitations of the approved Grant Applications and State and Federal Procurement Procedures.

This	the	20^{th}	day	οf	Inne	2000.
1 11113	uic	20	uav	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	June.	2000.

	Philip K. Price
	Philip K. Price, Mayor
TTEST:	
im J. Scott	
im J. Scott, CMC, City Clerk	

Resolution for Signatory Form and Check Signatures:

Mr. Nooe explained that they would need to fill in the blanks on the signature requirements. He stated that under the statute in the city code it should be the City Manager and the Finance Director.

Mrs. Stultz commented that they needed four signatures.

Mr. Sharp added that the usual check signing policy was signed by the Finance Officer and the Assistant Finance Officer, which at this point and time was Mr. Thomas.

Mr. Nooe stated that the City Clerk could countersign. He explained that for the requisition of funds, the authorized city officials were the City Manager and the Finance Director. Now, they already have the ordinance for check signing, and they should put the same individual's in there. He stated that offhand, the ones he recalled were Mr. Thomas, Mr. Sharp and the City Clerk and he did not recall the fourth.

Mr. Sharp replied that the fourth signature was the Mayor and the Mayor Pro-Tem was the fifth signature.

Mr. Nooe, for clarification, stated that it should be listed by the titles, not the names.

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Gover to approve the Resolution for Signatory Form and Check Signatures. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

CITY OF EDEN RESOLUTION FOR SIGNATORY FORM AND CHECK SIGNATURES FOR COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT

<u>Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Gover</u> moved that the following resolution be adopted:

WHEREAS, in order to receive Community Development Block Grant funds the City of Eden must authorize persons to sign the requisition forms for Community Development Block Grant funds and checks for the City of Eden Community Development Block Grant Program.

BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Manager, the Finance Director, the City Clerk, the Mayor and the Mayor Pro-Tem be authorized to execute the signature requirements for requisition of Community Development Block Grant funds and checks for disbursement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the authorization is to be effective on June 20, 2000.

Philip K. Price	
Mayor	

ATTEST:

Kim J. Scott, CMC, City Clerk

The following City Council Members voted in the affirmative:

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Council Members Tudor, Myott, Janney, Grogan, Gover, Reynolds and Rorrer

The following City Council Members voted in the negative:
None

Fair Housing Plan and Resolution:

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Grogan to adopt the Fair Housing Plan and Resolution. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

FAIR HOUSING RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF EDEN, NORTH CAROLINA

WHEREAS, the City of Eden, seeks to protect the health, safety and welfare of its residents; and

WHEREAS, citizens seek safe, sanitary, and habitable dwellings in all areas of the City; and

WHEREAS, the City of Eden finds the denial of equal housing opportunities because of religion, race, color, sex, familial or handicap status, or national origin legally wrong and socially unjust; and

WHEREAS, the denial of equal housing opportunities in housing accommodations is detrimental to public welfare and public order; and

WHEREAS, the City of Eden finds the practice of discrimination against a citizen in housing a denial of his equal rights and his equal opportunity to seek a better living and develop community pride;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Eden City Council of the City of Eden, North Carolina, that

- Section 1. The City of Eden has declared it an official policy of the City of Eden that there shall not be discrimination in the terms or conditions for buying or renting housing in the City of Eden.
- Section 2. All business groups and individual citizens of the City are urged to respect and implement this policy.
- Section 3. The City Planning Director, or her designate, of the City of Eden is the official authorized by the City to (1) received and document complaints regarding housing discrimination in the City; and (2) refer such complaints to the North Carolina Human Relations Board of Commissioners for investigation, conciliation, and resolution.

ADOPTED, this the 20th day of June, 2000.

Philip K. Price, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kim J. Scott, City Clerk, CMC

<u>Complaint Procedure</u>:

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Reynolds to adopt the Complaint Procedure. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Equal Employment and Procurement Plan:

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Rorrer to adopt the Equal Employment and Procurement Plan. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Section 3 Business Opportunities Plan:

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Reynolds to adopt the Section 3 Business Opportunities Plan. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(e) (2) Consideration of project committee for Rivercrest Drive project:

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

The memorandum explained that as a requirement for both the HUD Disaster Recovery Initiative and the FEMA Hazard Mitigation Grant Program a project committee must be established to serve as liaison between the Program and the citizens affected in the Project Area. The citizens recommended to serve on the Project Area Advisory Committee were as follows: Mrs. June Hill, Mrs. Helena Smith, Mr. Marshall Hill, Mrs. Susan Archibald, Mrs. Shirley Stone, Mr. Hugh Hodges.

Council Member Janney asked if they were the people who were going to be moved and involved in the moving.

Mrs. Stultz replied that it was a committee that set up one person who was required to be from outside of that area and the other five were in.

Council Member Janney asked how many more people were they dealing with besides those to which Mrs. Stultz replied about six or seven. He asked how they picked those people to which Mrs. Stultz replied that they came up with a list of the ones they had talked to and then started down that list talking to everyone out there. She added that some of them did not want to serve.

Council Member Janney asked if all those people on that volunteered to serve to which she replied they did.

Council Member Gover asked if there were people who were not planning to move from out there and were planning to do renovations to their homes or they were selling it and others were moving in. He asked if they were aware of what was going on out there, the people who were buying those homes.

Mrs. Stultz replied that they have had public meetings, ran advertisements and they were not in a position at this point to ensure that if one of those folks were selling their house that they have told the buyer. She added that they would be told once they get notice that they were there. She stated that everyone in the neighborhood would know and the ones who were impacted certainly would know.

Mayor Price added that they have had a public hearing also to which Mrs. Stultz stated that they would also have to have some more.

Council Member Janney asked if that was not anything they would be obligated to. He used as an example, suppose he did not know about it, and he went there and bought a home, was anyone obligated to tell him that was going on, by law.

Mr. Nooe replied, not at that point.

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Tudor to approve the committee. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

(f) Consideration of roof replacement, Fire Station #2.

The memorandum explained that in April, it was brought to the attention of Mr. Paul Dishmon, Maintenance Supervisor, the roof at Fire Station #2 had deteriorating shingles. Mr. Ray Sharp, Finance Director, arranged for a meeting with the NCLM Risk Management adjuster and discovered the entire shingle roof was in need of immediate repair.

The bids for those repairs were as follows: Sunrise Roofing - \$5,630 and Dyer's Sheet Metal and Roofing - \$6,966. It was recommended, after receiving final verification from the NCLM for reimbursement, the City of Eden contract Sunrise Roofing to repair the roof at the cost of \$5,630.

A motion was made by Council Member Rorrer seconded by Council Member Grogan to approve this request (Sunrise Roofing \$5,630). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

(g) Consideration of Comprehensive Solid Waste Plan Update.

The memorandum explained that the City of Eden and other local governments in Rockingham County developed a comprehensive solid waste plan that was implemented July 1, 1997. The State of North Carolina mandated the development of the plan in June of 1996 as a result of the enactment of House Bill 859. Eden, along with the other local governments in Rockingham County, decided to develop the ten-year plan for Rockingham County jointly.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Council Member Rorrer, referring to the plan, pointed out that there were a whole lot of places in there where Eden was going to do this or that. He asked how that came about.

Mayor Price asked Council Member Myott, Eden's Solid Waste Committee Chairman, to give a history of it.

Council Member Myott explained that this came about in 1997 when the county was mandated to do a ten-year comprehensive waste management. Those were options that he saw in there and they were put in there in the beginning. She stated that they were left in there in the update because it was a ten-year plan and those things would be revisited.

Council Member Janney asked if she was familiar with all of it to which she replied that she was. He stated that he did not understand, and he had compared the two sheets. He noted that he knew they were looking back in 1998 and 1999, that was history, but if he compared the total expenditures, if they look at those numbers, between Reidsville and Eden, and they were just about the same size, there was a lot of variation in those numbers.

Council Member Myott pointed out that Reidsville did not use the county's landfill.

Council Member Janney replied that they did not then, they were going to Kernersville to which she stated they still did not use the county's landfill.

Council Member Janney asked that if they look at contracted services, how did they get it there, did they carry it there themselves. He stated that the contracted service, there was a big difference, \$195,000 versus Reidsville's contracted service at \$14,000.

Council Member Myott referred the question to Mr. Adams, Solid Waste Superintendent, as he compiled all the data.

Council Member Janney continued by asking the Council to look at it. He asked that they tell the Board the differences in the two, he knew it was history, but it may be something they could learn. He stated that was his concern in looking at both towns, and he realized they were using Kernersville (now).

Council Member Myott pointed out that every other city in the county had approved this but Eden and it was due into the State by July 1st.

Council Member Rorrer challenged everyone (other than Myott) on the Council as to if they knew what was in that plan.

Council Member Myott explained that they had worked together on this as a group, as a planning committee in Wentworth with Mike McDaniel (of the county). There was also a County Commissioner on the committee along with representatives from each city. She noted that they have spent a lot of hours and trips to Wentworth to do it and it really should be approved tonight.

Council Member Rorrer asked should not a little more time have been spent going through the Eden Solid Waste Committee with it.

Council Member Janney asked if the Solid Waste Committee had not seen the plan. He asked who was on the committee, Council Member Rorrer and...to which Council Member Gover commented that he was on the committee, but had not seen it.

Council Member Grogan suggested that the committee meet on June 27th, before the budget meeting and then they could approve it.

Mayor Price asked Council Member Myott if she could get the committee together to which she indicated that she would. He then explained that they would ask the Solid Waste Committee to meet and it would be on the June 27th Budget Meeting Agenda.

(h) Consideration of request to surplus old sewer vac truck.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

The memorandum explained that the request was for Council to declare a 1986 Chevrolet Sewer Vac Truck as surplus. This truck was replaced in January of 2000. It was recommended that they sell this unit to the highest bidder through a sealed bid process.

A motion was made by Council Member Rorrer seconded by Council Member Grogan to approve the recommendation and declare the sewer vac surplus. Council Members Rorrer, Janney, Grogan, Reynolds, Myott and Tudor voted in favor of this motion. Council Member Gover voted in opposition. This motion carried.

(i) Consideration of request to purchase handheld meter reading devices.

The memorandum explained that on March 9, 2000 the Finance Department issued a Request for Proposals for Hand Held Meter Reading Devices. Two proposals were received, from Datamatic.com and Logics.

This item was withdrawn.

(j) Consideration of request from merchants for 2 hour parking enforcement on Fieldcrest Road in the business section.

Council Member Gover explained that there was a merchant's meeting a couple of weeks ago and they were requesting that the city put the enforcement of two hour parking on Fieldcrest Road. He added that it had not been enforced and was somehow left out of the code book for the city.

Council Member Rorrer asked if they needed to go through the State to which Mr. Nooe replied that he did not think they needed to know.

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Tudor to approve this request and add the enforcement to the city's code book. All Council Members voted in favor of this request. This motion carried.

NEW BUSINESS

(a) Consideration of annual grinding of wood waste.

The memorandum explained that the Solid Waste Division received a proposal from one of five prospective service vendors that can provide services for the grinding of wood waste in June of 1999. Charles Blythe Equipment Co., Inc., submitted the lowest overall bid for the services that are to be rendered.

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Rorrer that the contract be approved (Charles Blythe Equipment Co. at \$22,243.45). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(b) Consideration bulk waste transport service contract.

The memorandum provided to Council explained that the City of Eden is being serviced by Hopkins Brothers Hauling Co. of Eden, for the transport of bulk waste. The contract is for one year with the option to renew at the end of each year. The contract will expire July 10^{th} .

A motion was made by Council Member Rorrer seconded by Council Member Gover to approve this contract (Hopkins Brothers Hauling Co. with the approximate 3.3% increase for transport for the 2000/2001 fiscal year). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(c) Consideration of upgrading restroom facility at transfer station.

The memorandum provided to Council explained that the Solid Waste Department was requesting upgrading the restroom facilities at the transfer station to meet ADA standards.

A motion was made by Council Member Rorrer seconded by Council Member Grogan for approval (the requested purchase order was in the amount of \$5,600.00). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(d) Consideration of annual certification of firemen.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

A motion was made by Council Member Tudor seconded by Council Member Reynolds for approval. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(e) Consideration of taxation of short-term rental and lease vehicles.

Mr. Thomas explained that this item would need to be tabled until the meeting of June 27th.

(f) Consideration of Budget Amendment 6.

This is the Sixth Budget Amendment for the year.

Budget Amendment #6 General Fund	Account #	From	То	Amount
Revenues		*	A = 400 00	
Wireless 911	10-3255-32000	\$ -	\$56,400.00	\$56,400.00
Surcharges Police Security Charges	10-3431-41100	\$30,100.00	\$40,100.00	\$10,000.00
Police Security Charges: Fringe	10-3431-41200	\$4,100.00	\$8,700.00	\$4,600.00
PD Fed/State Drug Forfeiture	10-3431-41900	\$11,100.00	\$15,100.00	\$4,000.00
Police/Governors Hwy Safety	10-3431-42000	\$4,000.00	\$20,400.00	\$16,400.00
Donations DARE Prg.	10-3431-84500	\$200.00	\$800.00	\$600.00
Recreation: Grant	10-3612-48400	\$2,900.00	\$8,100.00	\$5,200.00
Recreation: Donations	10-3612-84000	\$-	\$1,400.00	\$1,400.00
Misc. Revenue	10-3839-8000	\$200.00	\$11,900.00	\$11,700.00
Proceeds Capitalized Lease	10-3840-91000	\$-	\$98,500.00	\$98,500.00
Insurance Proceeds	10-3850-85000	\$9,950.00	\$62,150.00	\$52,200.00
Bond Proceeds	10-3984-98200	\$-	\$48,400.00	\$48,400.00
			. ,	\$309,400.00
Expenditures				
Contracted Services	10-4110-39400	\$1,000.00	\$49,400.00	\$48,400.00
Police Security	10-4310-12500	\$20,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$10,000.00
Police FICA	10-4310-18100	\$147,100.00	\$151,700.00	\$4,600.00
Police M/R Vehicles	10-4310-25300	\$44,450.00	\$44,950.00	\$500.00
Police DARE Program Supplies	10-4310-29700	\$4,500.00	\$5,100.00	\$600.00
Police C/O Vehicles	10-4310-5000	\$-	\$12,200.00	\$12,200.00
Police C/O Equipment	10-4310-57000	\$16,300.00	\$36,700.00	\$20,400.00
Emergency Comm C/O Equipment	10-4330-57000	\$40,000.00	\$96,400.00	\$56,400.00
Solid Waste C/O Vehicles	10-4710-55000	\$-	\$98,500.00	\$98,500.00
Recreation Donation Expenses	10-6120-29500	\$1,000.00	\$2,400.00	\$1,400.00
Recreation C/O Land Improvements	10-6120-52000	\$-	\$11,700.00	\$11,700.00
Recreation C/O Bldgs.	10-6120-53000	\$ -	\$29,100.00	\$29,100.00
Recreation C/O Equipment	10-6120-57000	\$16,200.00	\$21,400.00	\$5,200.00
Equipment				\$299,000.00
Water & Sewer Fund				
Revenue				
W/S Proceeds Capital Lease	30-3840-92000	\$ -	\$160,000.00	\$160,000.00
W/S Other Departmental Revenue	30-3839-89100	\$	\$5,900.00	\$5,900.00
W/S Fund Balance	30-3991-99100	\$272,375.00	\$76,225.00	\$(196,150.00)
Appropriated				\$(30,250.00)
Expenditures				
W/S Waste Treat M/R Equipment	30-7130-25700	\$107,500.00	\$113,400.00	\$5,900.00
W/S Contingency	30-9990-99100	\$36,150.00	\$-	\$(36,150.00) \$(30,250.00)
				. (,== = •••)

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Special Services Fund Revenue				
Spec. Svs. Historical Pres. Book Sales	22-3350-00100	\$ -	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00
Spec. Svs. Comm. App. Projects	22-3491-84400	\$ -	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
Spec. Svs. Trans. C/R Runabout	22-3986-98100	\$ -	\$8,400.00	\$8,400.00
Kullabout				\$13,900.00
Special Services Fund				
Expenditures Historic Pres. Misc. Expenses	22-9100-29900	\$1,275.00	\$4,775.00	\$3,500.00
Runabout Travel Expenses	22-9100-31200	\$50,000.00	\$58,400.00	\$8,400.00
Community App. Exp.	22-9100-39900	\$ -	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00 \$13,900.00
Capital Reserve Fund				
Revenue Educational Access Channel	70-3270-12000	\$-	\$6,500.00	\$6,500.00
City Park Donations Capital Reserve Fund	70-3491-84220 70-3991-99100	\$ - \$1,701,275.00	\$134,700.00 \$1,721,675.00	\$134,700.00 \$20,400.00
Balance Approp.				\$161,600.00
Capital Reserve Fund				
Expenditures Cap. Reserve Trans to	70-4910-98200	\$1,700,000.00	\$1,720,400.00	\$20,400.00
Cap Projects Cap Reserve Trans to G/F	70-4910-98100	\$100,000.00	\$272,900.00	\$172,900.00
Cap Reserve Trans to GF	70-9810-98100	\$31,700.00	\$-	\$(31,700.00)
				\$161,600.00
Debt Service Fund Revenue				
Bond Proceeds	20-3986-98200	\$ -	\$23,400.00	\$23,400.00 \$23,400.00
Debt Service Fund				
Expenditures D/S Interest Bonds	70-4910-98200	\$808,600.00	\$832,000.00	\$23,400.00 \$23,400.00
Budget Amendment 6 is	for numerous items.			
Adopted and effective th	is 20 th day of June, 2	2000		
ATTEST:				
Kim J. Scott			Philip K. Price	Mayor

Mr. Sharp commented that the above were items that they have received during the year and they need to budget the expenditure. He explained that it included 911 surcharges, insurance proceeds, DARE donations, grants, miscellaneous revenue, revenue from condemned property, they need not only to recognize budgeted revenue but also budgeted expenditures.

Philip K. Price, Mayor

Council Member Janney asked if it included the current renovation of City Hall.

Mr. Sharp replied there was nothing in there that included that.

Kim J. Scott, City Clerk

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Tudor to approve Budget Amendment #6. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

(g) Consideration of Budget Amendment 7.

This is the Seventh Budget Amendment for the year.

Budget Amendment #7 General Fund	Account #	From	То	Amount
Revenue Ad Valorem	10-3190-11000	\$2,938,000.00	\$3,068,000.00	\$130,000.00 \$130,000.00
General Fund Expenditures Contribution to Self Insurance	10-9920-75000	\$ -	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00 \$130,000.00
Self Insured Insurance				
Revenue Contributions from G/F	15-3839-89010	\$ -	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Contributions from W/S	15-3839-89030	\$ -	\$42,000.00	\$42,000.00
Contributions from Garage	15-3839-89031	\$ -	\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00
				\$178,000.00
Self Insured Insurance				
Expenditures Group Ins. Fixed Cost Contribution to Self Insurance	15-4145-18300 15-4145-3000	\$166,800.00 \$525,000.00	\$186,800.00 \$683,000.00	\$20,000.00 \$158,000.00
msurance				\$178,000.00
Water Fund Revenue W/S Fund Balance Appropriated	30-3991-99100	\$76,225.00	\$118,225.00	\$42,000.00
пррторганов				\$42,000.00
Expenditures W/S Contribution to Self Insurance	30-9920-98115	\$ -	\$42,000.00	\$42,000.00
Sen insurance				\$42,000.00
Fleet Maintenance Revenue				
Chgs to Other – Funds – G/F	3-1335-15100	\$190,100.00	\$196,100.00	\$6,000.00
5/1				\$6,000.00
Fleet Maintenance Expenditures Contribution to Self	31-4210-99015	\$ -	\$6,000.00	\$6,000.00
Insurance	31 T210-77013	Ψ	ψ0,000.00	\$6,000.00
				Ψ0,000.00

Budget Amendment #7 is transfer funds to the Self Insurance Fund.

Adopted and effective this 20^{th} day of June, 2000

ATTEST:

Kim J. ScottPhilip K. PriceKim J. Scott, City ClerkPhilip K. Price, Mayor

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Tudor to approve Budget Amendment #7. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

(h) Consideration of Budget Amendment #8.

This is the Eighth Budget Amendment for the year.

Budget Amendme General Fund	ent #8	Account #	From	То	Amount
Revenue Contributions Capital Reserve	from	10-3984-98000	\$ -	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00 \$100,000.00
General Fund Expenditures Recreation C/O Improvements	Land	10-6120-52000	\$ -	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00 \$100,000.00

Budget Amendment #8 is transfer Park funds to the General Fund to pay for land preparation.

Adopted and effective this 20th day of June, 2000

ATTEST:

Kim J. ScottPhilip K. PriceKim J. Scott, City ClerkPhilip K. Price, Mayor

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Rorrer to approve Budget Amendment #8. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(i) Consideration of name change from Walker Drive to Walker Hill.

Council Member Tudor explained that the historic name of their street was Walker Hill and he and his neighbor's deeds have Walker Hill on them. Somewhere along the line it got changed to Walker Drive and he noted that it was also on the map as Walker Drive. He stated that since there was a Walker Street in east Eden, he, his wife and his neighbors (Mr. and Mrs. Neil Fair and Mr. and Mrs. John Yount) requested that they go with the name of Walker Hill.

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Gover to approve this request. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(j) Consideration of payment of NCDENR penalty.

Mr. Nooe explained that they had an item to add under new business, to approve payment of the penalty from the North Carolina Department of Environmental & Natural Resources.

Mayor Price explained that information had been sent to the Council from the City Manager as to approve the payments of those permit violations and the civil penalties along with the sewage spills.

A motion was made by Council Member Rorrer seconded by Council Member Grogan for approval. All Council Members voted in favor of this request. This motion carried.

CONSENT AGENDA:

(a) Approval and adoption of minutes – May 9, 16, 24, 25, and May 30th, 2000.

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Reynolds to approve the consent agenda (items a). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS:

(a) Adoption of the 2000-2001 budget ordinance.

No action.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

(b) Adoption of Resolution to approve the Rockingham County 2000 Solid Waste Management Plan (Agenda item 7(g)).

No action.

(c) Adoption of a Resolution Enacting an Ordinance Levying Tax on Gross Receipts Derived from Retain Short-Term Lease or Rental on Motor Vehicles. (Agenda item 8(e)).

No action.

(d) Adoption of a Resolution Establishing the Controlled Burn and Debris Removal Policy for the City of Eden. (Agenda Item 7(a)(b)).

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Tudor to adopt this resolution. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

(This resolution appears in 7(a)).

(e) Adoption of a Resolution Establishing the Schedule of Fees Pertaining to The Planning and Inspections Department. (Agenda 7(a)(b)).

No action.

The following are from Agenda Item 7(e) (1) Rivercrest Drive Flood Buyout Project and were approved earlier in the meeting.

- (f) Adoption of City of Eden Antidisplacement and Relocation Assistance Plan.
- (g) Adoption of City of Eden Procurement Policy for Community Development Block Grant Program.
- (h) Adoption of City of Eden Community Development Code of Conduct.
- (i) Adoption of Citizen Participation Plan.
- (j) Adoption of Grant Project Ordinance.
- (k) Adoption of Resolution Designating Just Compensation Officer.
- (l) Adoption of City of Eden Resolution for Signatory Form and Check Signatures for Community Development Block Grant.
- (m) Adoption of Recipient's Plan to Further Fair Housing.
- (n) Adoption of Complaint Procedure.
- (o) Adoption of Fair Housing Resolution of the City of Eden.
- (p) Adoption of City of Eden Equal Employment and Procurement Plan.
- (q) Adoption of Section 3 Plan for Employment Opportunities for Businesses and Lower Income Persons City of Eden 1997 CDGB-CR Program.

VOUCHERS:

Council Member Gover explained that he had asked several times for a breakdown on the City Hall renovations. He stated that he would like to ask a separate question for his personal use, on monies that they were spending on City Hall, a project sheet, instead of putting them all in those vouchers, on how much money they have appropriated and how much they have spent each time. He stated that he had asked for a briefing on that renovation and they have not had it yet. He stated that he hoped someone was looking after it as he did not know how much money they were spending down there. He stated that it was quite a large project not to have a worksheet on it.

Mayor Price asked Mr. Sharp how often he paid those bills to which Mr. Sharp replied that they were paid on a monthly basis. Mayor Price asked if he would make a breakdown of expenses that they pay to the contractor.

Mr. Sharp replied that he could break it down, but he pointed out that on the revenue and expenditures project sheet, it kind of gives a monthly total of what goes in and comes out, but there was no breakdown. He stated that it was on page 7 of 12 of the Financial Report.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

Council Member Gover replied that he saw that, but it just gives a lump figure. He explained that he did not want to know about each nail that was bought, he was talking about keeping up with the project. He wanted to be briefed on it.

Mr. Nooe asked if he did not get a request for payment from the contractor through the architect to which Mr. Sharp replied that he did. He asked if he had provided the Council copies of those.

Mr. Sharp replied that could be done.

Council Member Janney questioned change orders. He stated that he understood they have had quite a few change orders since the project was voted on.

Mr. Thomas replied that the change orders to date have been in the city's favor and there have not really been any put together and brought for the Council's consideration. He stated that Mr. Joyce (architect) was working on the change orders that need to be done, but most of the changes to date have been in the city's favor as far as cost. He stated that in fact they were working on a matter now that would come as a change order but up to that point, the things that have been done were actually saving money.

Council Member Janney asked if it was true they were having trouble with the sprinkler system and it was going to cost more money.

Mr. Thomas replied that was true. He explained that that information had not been completed to bring to the Council as far as a change order goes. He stated that they were still getting cost estimates as to what those things would be and what would be necessary in the change order to add that to the project. What that was, because of the extensive amount of renovations that was being done to that sprinkler system they were having to move some heads upstairs. They were having to add a number of them and they have to do all those things along with a back flow preventor and check valve that needs to be placed in there. They were having to do those things so that it could be certified by the inspectors for the building. He stated that when the plans were being developed, they did not realize that with the work that was being done downstairs, that as far as the sprinkler system went, the entire building would have to be addressed. He stated that they knew they would have to move four or five from a foot away from the wall, but now they found out they were going to have to do a little more than that and they just did not see that going in.

CLOSED SESSION:

Mr. Reid Baur, of the Eden Daily News, asked if the Mayor could be more specific to which the Mayor replied that he could not.

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Myott to go into Closed Session to consult with the attorney in order to preserve the attorney client privilege and consider and give instruction to the attorney concerning the handling of a claim, a judicial action, or administrative procedure according to GS 143-318.11(3). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

OPEN SESSION:

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Grogan to return to open session. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

CLOSED SESSION:

A motion was made by Council Member Grogan seconded by Council Member Tudor to go into Closed Session for discussion of personnel according to GS 143-318.11(a)(6). All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

OPEN SESSION:

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Tudor to return to open session. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion. This motion carried.

Minutes of the June 20, 2000 meeting of the City Council, City of Eden, N. C.

ADJOURNMENT:

A motion was made by Council Member Gover seconded by Council Member Tudor to adjourn. All Council Members voted in favor of this motion.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Kim J. Scott City Clerk
ATTEST:	
Philip K. Price Mayor	